

# Clustering-based model reduction of networked passive systems

Bart Besselink

Jan C. Willems Center for Systems and Control Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computer Science University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

14 August 2017



#### Henrik Sandberg Karl H. Johansson

ACCESS Linnaeus Center & Department of Automatic Control KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden



## Introduction



The analysis and design of complex systems relies on the use of accurate predictive models

### Challenges

- Dynamics dependent on subsystems and interconnection
- Large-scale interconnection complicates analysis and synthesis







## Introduction



The analysis and design of complex systems relies on the use of accurate predictive models

### Challenges

- Dynamics dependent on subsystems and interconnection
- Large-scale interconnection complicates analysis and synthesis

Goal: Model reduction of large-scale networked systems







 General methods, e.g., balancing, moment matching [Moore, Glover, Antoulas, Astolfi, ...]





- General methods, e.g., balancing, moment matching [Moore, Glover, Antoulas, Astolfi, ...]
- Reduction of subsystems, i.e., structured reduction [Monshizadeh et al., Sandberg & Murray]





- General methods, e.g., balancing, moment matching [Moore, Glover, Antoulas, Astolfi, ...]
- Reduction of subsystems, i.e., structured reduction [Monshizadeh et al., Sandberg & Murray]
- Clustering-based model reduction
  [Ishizaki et al., Monshizadeh et al., van der Schaft, Cheng et al.]





- General methods, e.g., balancing, moment matching [Moore, Glover, Antoulas, Astolfi, ...]
- Reduction of subsystems, i.e., structured reduction [Monshizadeh et al., Sandberg & Murray]
- Clustering-based model reduction
  [Ishizaki et al., Monshizadeh et al., van der Schaft, Cheng et al.]

#### This presentation

- Subsystems with higher-order dynamics
- Controllability/observability-based cluster selection



- Problem setting
- Edge controllability and observability
- One-step clustering and multi-step clustering
- Example
- Conclusions & Future work

## **Problem setting**



#### Networks of interconnected dynamical systems

1. Subsystem dynamics

$$\Sigma_i$$
:  $\dot{x}_i = Ax_i + Bv_i$ ,  $z_i = Cx_i$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

## **Problem setting**





Networks of interconnected dynamical systems

1. Subsystem dynamics

$$\Sigma_i$$
:  $\dot{x}_i = Ax_i + Bv_i$ ,  $z_i = Cx_i$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

2. Interconnection topology with  $w_{ij} \ge 0$  $v_i = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\bar{n}} w_{ij}(z_j - z_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{m}} g_{ij} u_j$ 

## **Problem setting**





Networks of interconnected dynamical systems

1. Subsystem dynamics

$$\Sigma_i$$
:  $\dot{x}_i = Ax_i + Bv_i$ ,  $z_i = Cx_i$ ,  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

2. Interconnection topology with  $w_{ij} \ge 0$  $v_i = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\bar{n}} w_{ij}(z_j - z_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{m}} g_{ij} u_j$ 

3. External outputs  $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{n}} h_{ij} z_j$ 





$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = (I \otimes A - L \otimes BC)x + (G \otimes B)u\\ y = (H \otimes C)x \end{cases}$$

**Goal**. Approximate the input-output behavior of  $\Sigma$  by a clustering-based reduced-order system  $\hat{\Sigma}$ 





$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = (I \otimes A - L \otimes BC)x + (G \otimes B)u\\ y = (H \otimes C)x \end{cases}$$

Goal. Approximate the input-output behavior of  $\Sigma$  by a clustering-based reduced-order system  $\hat{\Sigma}$ 





$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = (I \otimes A - L \otimes BC)x + (G \otimes B)u\\ y = (H \otimes C)x \end{cases}$$

Goal. Approximate the input-output behavior of  $\Sigma$  by a clustering-based reduced-order system  $\hat{\Sigma}$ 

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}: \begin{cases} \dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = (\boldsymbol{I} \otimes \boldsymbol{A} - \hat{\boldsymbol{L}} \otimes \boldsymbol{B}\boldsymbol{C})\boldsymbol{\xi} + (\hat{\boldsymbol{G}} \otimes \boldsymbol{B})\boldsymbol{u} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{H}} \otimes \boldsymbol{C})\boldsymbol{\xi} \end{cases}$$





$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = (I \otimes A - L \otimes BC)x + (G \otimes B)u\\ y = (H \otimes C)x \end{cases}$$

Goal. Approximate the input-output behavior of  $\Sigma$  by a clustering-based reduced-order system  $\hat{\Sigma}$ 

#### Objectives

- $1. \ \ {\rm Preservation} \ \ {\rm of} \ \, {\rm synchronization}$
- 2. A priori bound on the reduction error





$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} \dot{x} = (I \otimes A - L \otimes BC)x + (G \otimes B)u\\ y = (H \otimes C)x \end{cases}$$

Goal. Approximate the input-output behavior of  $\Sigma$  by a clustering-based reduced-order system  $\hat{\Sigma}$ 

Approach. Find neighboring subsystems that are

- hard to steer individually from the inputs
- hard to distinguish from the outputs





### Laplacian matrix of ${\mathcal{G}}$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} w_{12} & -w_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ -w_{21} & w_{21} + w_{24} & 0 & -w_{24} \\ 0 & -w_{32} & w_{32} & 0 \\ 0 & -w_{42} & 0 & w_{42} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad L\mathbf{1} = 0$$







Laplacian matrix of  ${\mathcal{G}}$ 

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} w_{12} & -w_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ -w_{21} & w_{21} + w_{24} & 0 & -w_{24} \\ 0 & -w_{32} & w_{32} & 0 \\ 0 & -w_{42} & 0 & w_{42} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad L\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$$



**Incidence matrix of**  $\mathcal{G}_{u}$  (for a given orientation)

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



**Lemma**. Consider L and let E be an oriented incidence matrix of the underlying undirected graph. Then,

$$L = FE^{\mathsf{T}}$$

where F has the same structure as E, i.e.,

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} * e_i - e_j * \end{bmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} * w_{ij}e_i - w_{ji}e_j * \end{bmatrix}$$



**Assumption A1**. The graph  $\mathcal{G}$  with graph Laplacian L is such that

- a. The underlying undirected graph is a tree
- b.  $\mathcal G$  contains a directed rooted spanning tree

## Edge Laplacian and edge dynamics





Lemma. Under A1, the edge Laplacian

$$L_{e} = E^{\mathsf{T}}F$$

has all eigenvalues in the open right-half complex plane

## Edge Laplacian and edge dynamics





Lemma. Under A1, the edge Laplacian

$$L_{e} = E^{\mathsf{T}}F$$

has all eigenvalues in the open right-half complex plane

Note. This follows from similarity of L and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_e \end{bmatrix}$$

## Edge Laplacian and edge dynamics





Lemma. Under A1, the edge Laplacian

$$L_{e} = E^{\mathsf{T}}F$$

has all eigenvalues in the open right-half complex plane

Note. This follows from similarity of L and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_e \end{bmatrix}$$

Edge system in coordinates  $x_e = (E^T \otimes I)x$  $\Sigma_e: \dot{x}_e = (I \otimes A - L_e \otimes BC)x_e + (E^T G \otimes B)u, y_e = (H_e \otimes C)x_e$ 



$$V_i \longrightarrow \Sigma_i \longrightarrow W_i$$

**Passivity** [Willems]. A system  $\Sigma_i$  is passive if there exists a differentiable  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , V(0) = 0,  $V \ge 0$  such that

 $\dot{V}(x_i) \leq v_i^{\mathsf{T}} w_i$ 



$$v_i \longrightarrow \Sigma_i \longrightarrow w_i$$

**Passivity** [Willems]. A system  $\Sigma_i$  is passive if there exists a differentiable  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , V(0) = 0,  $V \ge 0$  such that

 $\dot{V}(x_i) \leq v_i^{\mathsf{T}} w_i$ 

**Assumption A2.** The systems  $\Sigma_i$  are passive and  $V(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}x_i^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_i$ 



$$v_i \longrightarrow \Sigma_i \longrightarrow w_i$$

**Passivity** [Willems]. A system  $\Sigma_i$  is passive if there exists a differentiable  $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , V(0) = 0,  $V \ge 0$  such that

 $\dot{V}(x_i) \leq v_i^{\mathsf{T}} w_i$ 

Assumption A2. The systems  $\Sigma_i$  are passive and  $V(x_i) = \frac{1}{2}x_i^{\mathsf{T}}Qx_i$ 

**Theorem.** Under A1 and A2, the subsystems of  $\Sigma$  synchronize for u = 0, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} (x_i(t)-x_j(t))=0.$$

Equivalently,  $\Sigma_{\mathsf{e}}$  is asymptotically stable





 $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$  are hard to steer individually



weakly controllable coordinate in  $\Sigma_e$ 





 $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$  are hard to steer individually weakly controllable coordinate in  $\Sigma_{e}$ 

Edge controllability gramian Pe characterizes controllability

$$x_{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{e}}^{-1} x_{\mathsf{e}} = \inf \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{0} |u(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \ \Big| \ u \in \mathcal{L}_{2}^{m}((-\infty, 0]) \text{ s.t. } 0 \rightsquigarrow x_{\mathsf{e}} \right\}$$





 $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$  are hard to steer individually weakly controllable coordinate in  $\Sigma_{e}$ 

Edge controllability gramian Pe characterizes controllability

$$x_{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{e}}^{-1} x_{\mathsf{e}} = \inf \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{0} |u(t)|^2 \, \mathsf{d}t \ \Big| \ u \in \mathcal{L}_{2}^{m}((-\infty, 0]) \text{ s.t. } 0 \rightsquigarrow x_{\mathsf{e}} \right\}$$

### Challenges

- Pe dependent on subsystems and interconnection topology
- ► Role of individual edges not apparent from P<sub>e</sub>





 $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$  are hard to steer individually weakly controllable coordinate in  $\Sigma_{e}$ 

Theorem. The edge controllability Gramian  $P_{\rm e}$  can be bounded as  $P_{\rm e} \preccurlyeq \Pi^{\rm c} \otimes Q^{-1}$ 

if there exists  $\Pi^{c} = \text{diag}\{\pi_{1}^{c}, \dots, \pi_{\bar{n}-1}^{c}\} \succcurlyeq 0$  such that  $L_{e}\Pi^{c} + \Pi^{c}L_{e}^{\mathsf{T}} - E^{\mathsf{T}}GG^{\mathsf{T}}E \succcurlyeq 0$ 





 $\Sigma_i$  and  $\Sigma_j$  are hard to steer individually weakly controllable coordinate in  $\Sigma_{e}$ 

Theorem. The edge controllability Gramian  $P_{\rm e}$  can be bounded as  $P_{\rm e} \preccurlyeq \Pi^{\rm c} \otimes Q^{-1}$ 

if there exists  $\Pi^{c} = \text{diag}\{\pi_{1}^{c}, \dots, \pi_{\bar{n}-1}^{c}\} \succcurlyeq 0$  such that  $L_{e}\Pi^{c} + \Pi^{c}L_{e}^{\mathsf{T}} - E^{\mathsf{T}}GG^{\mathsf{T}}E \succcurlyeq 0$ 

**Lemma**.  $\Pi^{c} \succcurlyeq 0$  exists if  $w_{ij} > 0 \Leftrightarrow w_{ji} > 0$ 



$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}} = \mathsf{diag}\{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}^{\mathsf{c}}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{n}-1}^{\mathsf{c}}\}, \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}} + \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}}\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{T}} - \boldsymbol{E}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{E} \succcurlyeq \boldsymbol{0}$$

#### Properties

- $\blacktriangleright$  Gramian can be defined as  $\Sigma_{e}$  is asymptotically stable
- Π<sup>c</sup> only dependent on interconnection properties
- Measure of controllability for each individual edge



$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}} = \mathsf{diag}\{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}^{\mathsf{c}}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{n}-1}^{\mathsf{c}}\}, \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}} + \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}}\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{T}} - \boldsymbol{E}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{E} \succcurlyeq \boldsymbol{0}$$

#### Properties

- $\blacktriangleright$  Gramian can be defined as  $\Sigma_{\mathsf{e}}$  is asymptotically stable
- Π<sup>c</sup> only dependent on interconnection properties
- Measure of controllability for each individual edge

Edge observability follows similarly, i.e.,

 $\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{o}} = \mathsf{diag}\{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}^{\mathsf{o}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{n}-1}^{\mathsf{o}}\}, \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{o}} + \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{o}}\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}} - \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{F} \succcurlyeq \boldsymbol{0}$ 



$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}} = \mathsf{diag}\{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}^{\mathsf{c}}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{n}-1}^{\mathsf{c}}\}, \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}} + \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{c}}\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{T}} - \boldsymbol{E}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{E} \succcurlyeq \boldsymbol{0}$$

#### Properties

- $\blacktriangleright$  Gramian can be defined as  $\Sigma_{\mathsf{e}}$  is asymptotically stable
- Π<sup>c</sup> only dependent on interconnection properties
- Measure of controllability for each individual edge

Edge observability follows similarly, i.e.,

 $\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{o}} = \mathsf{diag}\{\boldsymbol{\pi}_{1}^{\mathsf{o}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\bar{n}-1}^{\mathsf{o}}\}, \quad \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{o}} + \boldsymbol{\Pi}^{\mathsf{o}}\boldsymbol{L}_{\mathsf{e}} - \boldsymbol{F}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{F} \succcurlyeq \boldsymbol{0}$ 

Assume ordering

$$(L_{\rm e}^{-1})_{ii}^2 \pi_i^{\rm c} \pi_i^{\rm o} \geq (L_{\rm e}^{-1})_{i+1,i+1}^2 \pi_{i+1}^{\rm c} \pi_{i+1}^{\rm o} \geq 0, \quad i \in \{1,\ldots,\bar{n}_{\rm e}-1\}$$

## **One-step clustering**





## **One-step** clustering





Reduced-order system through projection with  $(V \otimes I)(W \otimes I)^{\mathsf{T}}$   $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}: \dot{\xi} = (I \otimes A - \hat{L} \otimes BC)\xi + (\hat{G} \otimes B)u, \quad \hat{y} = (\hat{H} \otimes C)\xi$ with  $\hat{L} = W^{\mathsf{T}}LV, \quad \hat{G} = W^{\mathsf{T}}G, \quad \hat{H} = HV$ 

## **One-step clustering**





**Reduced-order system** through projection with  $(V \otimes I)(W \otimes I)^{\mathsf{T}}$   $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}: \dot{\xi} = (I \otimes A - \hat{L} \otimes BC)\xi + (\hat{G} \otimes B)u, \quad \hat{y} = (\hat{H} \otimes C)\xi$ with  $\hat{L} = W^{\mathsf{T}}LV, \quad \hat{G} = W^{\mathsf{T}}G, \quad \hat{H} = HV$ 

**Lemma**. Consider the reduced-order system  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$ . Then, 1.  $\hat{L} = \hat{F}\hat{E}^{\mathsf{T}}$  with  $\hat{E}$  an oriented incidence matrix 2. Assumptions A1 and A2 hold for  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$ 



$$\Sigma \xrightarrow{x_{e} = (E^{\mathsf{T}} \otimes I)x} \Sigma_{e}$$

















**Theorem.** Consider  $\Sigma$  and the one-step clustered  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$ . Then, 1. The edge controllability Gramian of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$  satisfies  $\hat{P}_{e} \preccurlyeq \hat{\Pi}^{c} \otimes Q^{-1}, \quad \hat{\Pi}^{c} = \text{diag}\{\pi_{1}^{c}, \dots, \pi_{\bar{n}-2}^{c}\}$ 2. The edge observability Gramian of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$  satisfies  $\hat{Q}_{e} \preccurlyeq \hat{\Pi}^{o} \otimes Q, \quad \hat{\Pi}^{o} = \text{diag}\{\pi_{1}^{o}, \dots, \pi_{\bar{n}-2}^{o}\}$ 





**Theorem.** Consider  $\Sigma$  and the one-step clustered  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$ . Then, 1. The edge controllability Gramian of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$  satisfies  $\hat{P}_{e} \preccurlyeq \hat{\Pi}^{c} \otimes Q^{-1}, \quad \hat{\Pi}^{c} = \text{diag}\{\pi_{1}^{c}, \dots, \pi_{\bar{n}-2}^{c}\}$ 2. The edge observability Gramian of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{n}-1}$  satisfies  $\hat{Q}_{e} \preccurlyeq \hat{\Pi}^{o} \otimes Q, \quad \hat{\Pi}^{o} = \text{diag}\{\pi_{1}^{o}, \dots, \pi_{\bar{n}-2}^{o}\}$ 

Allows for repeated one-step clusterings



**Theorem**. The subsystems of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{k}}$  synchronize for u = 0, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\xi_i(t)-\xi_j(t)\right)=0, \qquad (i,j)\in\hat{\mathcal{V}}\times\hat{\mathcal{V}}$$



**Theorem**. The subsystems of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{k}}$  synchronize for u = 0, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \left(\xi_i(t)-\xi_j(t)\right)=0, \qquad (i,j)\in\hat{\mathcal{V}}\times\hat{\mathcal{V}}$$

**Theorem.** For trajectories  $x(\cdot)$  of  $\Sigma$  and  $\xi(\cdot)$  of  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\bar{k}}$  for the same input  $u(\cdot)$  and x(0) = 0,  $\xi(0) = 0$ , the output error is bounded as

$$\|y - \hat{y}\|_{2} \leq 2 \left( \sum_{l=\bar{k}}^{\bar{n}-1} \left( L_{e}^{-1} \right)_{ll} \sqrt{\pi_{l}^{c} \pi_{l}^{o}} \right) \|u\|_{2}$$

with  $\|\cdot\|_2$  the  $\mathcal{L}_2$  signal norm



#### Thermal model of a corridor of six rooms

Subsystems: thermal dynamics within a room

$$C_{1}\dot{T}_{1}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1}(T_{2}^{i} - T_{1}^{i}) - R_{\text{out}}^{-1}T_{1}^{i} + P_{i}$$
  
$$C_{2}\dot{T}_{2}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1}(T_{1}^{i} - T_{2}^{i})$$





#### Thermal model of a corridor of six rooms

Subsystems: thermal dynamics within a room

$$C_{1} \dot{T}_{1}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{2}^{i} - T_{1}^{i}) - R_{\text{out}}^{-1} T_{1}^{i} + P_{i}$$
  
$$C_{2} \dot{T}_{2}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{1}^{i} - T_{2}^{i})$$

▶ Edges: thermal resistances of walls,  $u_j = [P_h \ T_{env}]^T$ 

$$P_i = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\bar{n}} R_{wall}^{-1} (T_1^j - T_1^j) + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{m}} g_{ij} u_j$$





#### Thermal model of a corridor of six rooms

Subsystems: thermal dynamics within a room

$$C_{1} \dot{T}_{1}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{2}^{i} - T_{1}^{i}) - R_{\text{out}}^{-1} T_{1}^{i} + P_{i}$$
  
$$C_{2} \dot{T}_{2}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{1}^{i} - T_{2}^{i})$$

▶ Edges: thermal resistances of walls,  $u_j = [P_h \ T_{env}]^T$ 

$$P_{i} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\bar{n}} R_{wall}^{-1} (T_{1}^{j} - T_{1}^{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{m}} g_{ij} u_{j}$$

• Reduction from  $\bar{n} = 6$  to  $\bar{k} = 3$ 





#### Thermal model of a corridor of six rooms

Subsystems: thermal dynamics within a room

$$C_{1} \dot{T}_{1}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{2}^{i} - T_{1}^{i}) - R_{\text{out}}^{-1} T_{1}^{i} + P_{i}$$
  
$$C_{2} \dot{T}_{2}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{1}^{i} - T_{2}^{i})$$

▶ Edges: thermal resistances of walls,  $u_j = [P_h \ T_{env}]^T$ 

$$P_{i} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\bar{n}} R_{wall}^{-1} (T_{1}^{j} - T_{1}^{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{m}} g_{ij} u_{j}$$

• Reduction from  $\bar{n} = 6$  to  $\bar{k} = 3$ 





#### Thermal model of a corridor of six rooms

Subsystems: thermal dynamics within a room

$$C_{1} \dot{T}_{1}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{2}^{i} - T_{1}^{i}) - R_{\text{out}}^{-1} T_{1}^{i} + P_{i}$$
  
$$C_{2} \dot{T}_{2}^{i} = R_{\text{int}}^{-1} (T_{1}^{i} - T_{2}^{i})$$

► Edges: thermal resistances of walls,  $u_j = [P_h \ T_{env}]^T$ 

$$P_{i} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\bar{n}} R_{wall}^{-1} (T_{1}^{j} - T_{1}^{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{m}} g_{ij} u_{j}$$

• Reduction from  $\bar{n} = 6$  to  $\bar{k} = 3$ 







Error bound:  $2\sum_{l=3}^{5} (L_{e}^{-1})_{ll} \sqrt{\pi_{l}^{c} \pi_{l}^{o}} = 11.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ 

#### Conclusions

- Clustering-based reduction procedure
- Edge controllability and observability properties
- Preservation of synchronization and error bound

#### References

- B. Besselink, H. Sandberg, K.H. Johansson, J.-I. Imura. Controllability of a class of networked passive linear systems. In Proceedings of the 52nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Florence, Italy, 4901–4906, 2013.
- B. Besselink, H. Sandberg, K.H. Johansson. Model reduction of networked passive systems through clustering. In Proceedings of the 13th European Control Conference, Strasbourg, France, 1069–1074, 2014.
- B. Besselink, H. Sandberg, K.H. Johansson. Clustering-based model reduction of networked passive systems. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 61(10):2958-2973, 2016.



#### Conclusions

- Clustering-based reduction procedure
- Edge controllability and observability properties
- Preservation of synchronization and error bound

#### Future work

- Extension to arbitrary network topology
- Extension to nonlinear networked systems
- Extension to non-identical subsystems



#### Conclusions

- Clustering-based reduction procedure
- Edge controllability and observability properties
- Preservation of synchronization and error bound

#### Future work

- Extension to arbitrary network topology
- Extension to nonlinear networked systems
- Extension to non-identical subsystems

Potential approach: exploit theory of monotone systems

## Groningen Autumn School on MOR





#### Invited speakers

- Serkan Gugercin (Virginia Tech)
- Paolo Rapisarda (University of Southampton)

**Topics**. Model reduction for design and optimization, data-based model reduction, and model reduction of networks

30 October – 2 November, 2017 University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands http://www.math.rug.nl/gcsc/morschool.html