

On the singular values decay of solutions to a class of generalized Sylvester equations and efficient Krylov methods

Davide Palitta*, Elias Jarlebring†, Giampaolo Mele† and Emil Ringh†

*Dipartimento di Matematica - Università di Bologna, Italy (davide.palitta3@unibo.it), †KTH - Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden (eliasj, gmele, eringh@kth.se)

Generalized Sylvester equations

Consider the generalized Sylvester matrix equation

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{B}^T + \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_i^T = \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{C}_2^T$$

where $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N}_i, \mathbf{M}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m \ll n$, with n (very) large, $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, $r \ll n$

Applications: MOR for bilinear systems, analysis of linear stochastic differential equations [2], discretization of elliptic PDEs [4]

Further assumptions (not uncommon in applications):

- $\rho(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\Pi) < 1$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{B}^T$, $\Pi(\mathbf{X}) := \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{X} \mathbf{M}_i^T$

- If $\text{com}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}) := \mathbf{F}\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\text{com}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{N}_i) = \mathbf{U}_i \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_i^T, \quad \text{com}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{M}_i) = \mathbf{Q}_i \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_i^T$$

where $\mathbf{U}_i, \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s_i}$, $s_i \ll n$, and $\mathbf{Q}_i, \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times t_i}$, $t_i \ll n$

Closed-form solution and existence of low-rank approximations

The solution \mathbf{X} to (1) can be written as

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \mathbf{Y}_j$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}_0 + \mathbf{Y}_0 \mathbf{B}^T = \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{C}_2^T, \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}_j + \mathbf{Y}_j \mathbf{B}^T = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{Y}_{j-1} \mathbf{M}_i^T, \quad j \geq 1$$

Approximation given by

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{X}_\ell := \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \mathbf{Y}_j$$

$$\text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_\ell\| \leq \|\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{C}_2^T)\| \frac{\rho(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\Pi)^{\ell+1}}{1 - \rho(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\Pi)}$$

Theorem

Let \mathbf{X}_ℓ be as in (2). Then there exists a low-rank matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\ell$ s. t.

$$\|\mathbf{X}_\ell - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\ell\| \leq \bar{K} e^{-\pi\sqrt{k}}$$

where $\bar{K} > \mathbf{0}$ only depends on \mathcal{L} and ℓ . Moreover,

$$\text{rank}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\ell) \leq (2k + 1)r + \ell(2k + 1)^{\ell+1} m^\ell$$

A new approximation space

For simplicity: $m = 1$ (not restrictive)

- Extended Krylov subspace: effective for (standard) Sylv eqs ($m = 0$) [6]

$$\text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}) := \text{Range} \{ [\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{C}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}^{-k}\mathbf{C}] \}$$

Lemma

If $\text{com}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{N}) = \mathbf{U}\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^T$, $\mathbf{U}, \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$, $s \ll n$,

$$\mathbf{N} \cdot \text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}_1) \subseteq \text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, [\mathbf{N}\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{U}])$$

Compute $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\ell \approx \mathbf{X}_\ell$ as $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_\ell = \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_2^T$, $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, $d \ll n$, where

$$\mathbf{S}_1 \in \text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}_L), \quad \mathbf{S}_2 \in \text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}_R)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_L := [\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{N}\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{U}, \dots, \mathbf{N}^\ell \mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{N}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{U}], \quad \mathbf{D}_R := [\mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{M}\mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{Q}, \dots, \mathbf{M}^\ell \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{M}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{Q}]$$

In many applications,

- small values of ℓ provide good accuracy

- $\dim(\text{Range}\{\mathbf{D}_L\}) \ll r + \ell(r + s)$, $\dim(\text{Range}\{\mathbf{D}_R\}) \ll r + \ell(r + t)$

Numerical experiments: low-rank Π

Consider

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^T = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^T$$

where $\mathbf{A} = n^2$ tridiag(1, -2, 1) $\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $n = 10000$, and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

random vectors. Left and right spaces coincide: $\text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, [\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{u}])$

tol = 10^{-8}

	Its.	Memory	rank(X)	Lin. solves	CPU time (s)
BilADI (4 Wach. shifts) [1]	88	72	72	4869	9.50
BilADI (8 \mathcal{H}_2 -opt. shifts) [1]	60	71	71	2752	7.71
GLEK [5]	7	332	57	1053	14.67
$\text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, [\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{u}])$	57	228	63	114	3.12

- For problems with low-rank Π , the proposed method works well even removing the assumption $\rho(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\Pi) < 1$, while other methods do not!

Projection methods for (1) - Algorithm

Algorithm: Galerkin projection method for generalized Sylv eqs ($m = 1$)

Input: $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s}$, $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times t}$, $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, $\ell > 0$

Output: $\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, $d \ll n$

1. Set $\beta_1 = \|\mathbf{C}_1\|_F$, $\beta_2 = \|\mathbf{C}_2\|_F$
2. Set $\mathbf{D}_L = [\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{N}\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{U}, \dots, \mathbf{N}^\ell \mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{N}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{U}]$
3. Set $\mathbf{D}_R = [\mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{M}\mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{Q}, \dots, \mathbf{M}^\ell \mathbf{C}_2, \mathbf{M}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{Q}]$
4. Perform rank-revealing QR factorization, $\mathbf{D}_L = \mathbf{V}_1 \gamma_L$, $\mathbf{D}_R = \mathbf{W}_1 \gamma_R$
5. Set $\mathcal{V}_1 \equiv \mathbf{V}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_1 \equiv \mathbf{W}_1$
6. Set $\theta_L = \gamma_L(1:r, 1:r)$ and $\theta_R = \gamma_R(1:r, 1:r)$
7. For $k = 1, 2, \dots$, till convergence
8. Compute next basis blocks $\mathcal{V}_k, \mathcal{W}_k$
9. Set $\mathbf{V}_k = [\mathbf{V}_{k-1}, \mathcal{V}_k]$, $\mathbf{W}_k = [\mathbf{W}_{k-1}, \mathcal{W}_k]$
10. Update $\mathbf{T}_k = \mathbf{V}_k^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{V}_k$, $\mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{W}_k$, $\mathbf{G}_k = \mathbf{V}_k^T \mathbf{N} \mathbf{V}_k$, $\mathbf{F}_k = \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{W}_k$
11. Solve $\mathbf{T}_k \mathbf{Z}_k + \mathbf{Z}_k \mathbf{H}_k^T + \mathbf{G}_k \mathbf{Z}_k \mathbf{F}_k^T = \mathbf{E}_1 \theta_L \theta_R^T \mathbf{E}_1^T$
12. Compute $\|\mathbf{R}_k\|_F^2 = \|\mathbf{Z}_k \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{h}_{k+1}^T\|_F^2 + \|\tau_{k+1} \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{Z}_k\|_F^2$
13. If $\|\mathbf{R}_k\|_F / \beta_1 \beta_2$ is small enough Stop
14. EndDo
15. Compute the SVD of $\mathbf{Z}_k = \Theta \Sigma \Upsilon^T$
16. Set $\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{V}_k (\Theta \sqrt{\Sigma})$, $\mathbf{S}_2 = \mathbf{W}_k (\Upsilon \sqrt{\Sigma})$

Numerical experiments: MIMO★

Consider

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}^T + \gamma^2 \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{X} \mathbf{N}_1^T + \gamma^2 \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{X} \mathbf{N}_2^T = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^T$$

where $\gamma = 1/4$, $\mathbf{A} = \text{tridiag}(2, -5, 2)$, $\mathbf{N}_1 = \text{tridiag}(3, 0, -3) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$,

$n = 10000$, $\mathbf{N}_2 = -\mathbf{N}_1 + \mathbf{I}$, $\mathbf{C} = \text{randn}(n, r)$, $r = 2$. tol = 10^{-8}

- $\text{com}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{N}_1) = -\text{com}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{N}_2) = 12[\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_n][\mathbf{e}_1, -\mathbf{e}_n]^T$

- $\text{Range}\{[\mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{C}]\} = \text{Range}\{\mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{C}\}$

- Left and right spaces coincide: $\text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, [\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_n])$

	Its.	Memory	rank(X)	Lin. solves	CPU time (s)
BilADI (4 Wach. shifts) [1]	34	95	95	2452	13.12
BilADI (8 \mathcal{H}_2 -opt. shifts) [1]	32	95	95	2268	13.50
GLEK [5]	29	498	138	4965	29.53
$\text{EK}_k^\square(\mathbf{A}, [\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{N}_1 \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_n])$	10	120	89	60	3.08

★ slight modification of Example 2 in [3]

References:
 [1] P. Benner and T. Breiten, *Low rank methods for a class of generalized Lyapunov equations and related issues*, Numerische Mathematik, 124(3): 441-470, 2013
 [2] P. Benner and T. Damm, *Lyapunov equations, energy functionals, and model order reduction of bilinear and stochastic systems*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 49(2): 686-711, 2011
 [3] Y. Lin, L. Bao and Y. Wei, *Order reduction of bilinear MIMO dynamical systems using new block Krylov subspaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., 58(6): 1093-1102, 2009
 [4] D. Palitta and V. Simoncini, *Matrix-equation-based strategies for convection-diffusion equations*, BIT Numerical Mathematics, 56(2): 751-776, 2016
 [5] S.D., Shank, V. Simoncini and D.B. Szyld, *Efficient low-rank solution of generalized Lyapunov equations*, Numerische Mathematik, 134(2): 327-342, 2016
 [6] V. Simoncini, *A new iterative method for solving large-scale Lyapunov matrix equations*, SIAM J. Scient. Computing, 29(3): 1268-1288, 2007