Weak universality of the KPZ equation

Weijun Xu

University of Warwick Joint work with Martin Hairer

July 15, 2017

Interface growth models and KPZ

Edwards-Wilkinson universality class:

- Large scale limit of symmetric interface growth models.
- Limit described by stochastic heat equation $\partial_t Z = \partial_x^2 Z + \xi$.
- Scale invariant under $Z_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda Z(\lambda^4 t, \lambda^2 x)$.

Interface growth models and KPZ

Edwards-Wilkinson universality class:

- Large scale limit of symmetric interface growth models.
- Limit described by stochastic heat equation $\partial_t Z = \partial_x^2 Z + \xi$.
- Scale invariant under $Z_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda Z(\lambda^4 t, \lambda^2 x)$.

KPZ universality class:

- Large scale limit of asymmetric interface growth models.
- Conjectural limit described by KPZ fixed point (only constructed via TASEP).
- Scale invariant under $H_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda H(\lambda^3 t, \lambda^2 x)$.

Any reasonable 1 + 1 dimensional weakly asymmetric interface growth models rescale to the KPZ equation.

Any reasonable 1 + 1 dimensional weakly asymmetric interface growth models rescale to the KPZ equation.

"Reasonable" means: smoothing mechanism, lateral growth, short range correlation for microscopic noise.

Any reasonable 1 + 1 dimensional weakly asymmetric interface growth models rescale to the KPZ equation.

"Reasonable" means: smoothing mechanism, lateral growth, short range correlation for microscopic noise.

• Cole-Hopf solution (Bertini-Giacomin, Dembo-Tsai, Corwin-Shen-Tsai, Labbe, etc.): requires stability under exponentiation, so far all models WASEP related.

Any reasonable 1 + 1 dimensional weakly asymmetric interface growth models rescale to the KPZ equation.

"Reasonable" means: smoothing mechanism, lateral growth, short range correlation for microscopic noise.

- Cole-Hopf solution (Bertini-Giacomin, Dembo-Tsai, Corwin-Shen-Tsai, Labbe, etc.): requires stability under exponentiation, so far all models WASEP related.
- Energy solution (Goncalves-Jara, Gubinelli-Perkowski): needs Markovian, and knowledge of invariant measure.

Any reasonable 1 + 1 dimensional weakly asymmetric interface growth models rescale to the KPZ equation.

"Reasonable" means: smoothing mechanism, lateral growth, short range correlation for microscopic noise.

- Cole-Hopf solution (Bertini-Giacomin, Dembo-Tsai, Corwin-Shen-Tsai, Labbe, etc.): requires stability under exponentiation, so far all models WASEP related.
- Energy solution (Goncalves-Jara, Gubinelli-Perkowski): needs Markovian, and knowledge of invariant measure.
- Regularity structures (Hairer-Quastel, Hairer-X.): needs the equation "visible" at microscopic scale.

The KPZ equation

Microscopic growth model

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \lambda (\partial_x h)^2 + \eta,$$

where η smooth Gaussian random field, correlation length 1.

The KPZ equation

Microscopic growth model

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \lambda (\partial_x h)^2 + \eta,$$

where η smooth Gaussian random field, correlation length 1.

Rescale to macroscopic process $h_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} h(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon) - C_{\varepsilon} t$:

$$\partial_t h_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 h_{\varepsilon} + \lambda (\partial_x h_{\varepsilon})^2 + \eta_{\varepsilon} - C_{\varepsilon} t.$$

 η_{ε} : approximation to space-time white noise at scale ε .

The KPZ equation

Microscopic growth model

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \lambda (\partial_x h)^2 + \eta,$$

where η smooth Gaussian random field, correlation length 1.

Rescale to macroscopic process $h_{\varepsilon}(t,x) := \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} h(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon) - C_{\varepsilon} t$:

$$\partial_t h_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 h_{\varepsilon} + \lambda (\partial_x h_{\varepsilon})^2 + \eta_{\varepsilon} - C_{\varepsilon} t.$$

 η_{ε} : approximation to space-time white noise at scale ε .

Theorem (Hairer (13',14'), Hairer-Shen (15')) There exists $C_{\varepsilon} = \frac{c}{\varepsilon} + O(1)$ such that h_{ε} converges to KPZ(λ).

 C_{ε} : average moving speed.

Microscopic growth model (F nice even function)

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} F(\partial_x h) + \eta,$$

Microscopic growth model (F nice even function)

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} F(\partial_x h) + \eta,$$

Rescale to macroscopic process $h_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}h(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon) - C_{\varepsilon}t$:

$$\partial_t h_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 h_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1} F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x h) + \eta_{\varepsilon} - C_{\varepsilon}.$$

Microscopic growth model (*F* nice even function)

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} F(\partial_x h) + \eta,$$

Rescale to macroscopic process $h_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}h(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon) - C_{\varepsilon}t$:

$$\partial_t h_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 h_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1} F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x h) + \eta_{\varepsilon} - C_{\varepsilon}.$$

F polynomial: $\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_x h_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{a_0}{\varepsilon} + a_1(\partial_x h_{\varepsilon})^2 + a_2\varepsilon(\partial_x h_{\varepsilon})^4 + \cdots$.

Microscopic growth model (*F* nice even function)

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} F(\partial_x h) + \eta,$$

Rescale to macroscopic process $h_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}h(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon) - C_{\varepsilon}t$:

$$\partial_t h_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 h_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1} F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x h) + \eta_{\varepsilon} - C_{\varepsilon}.$$

F polynomial: $\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{x}h_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{a_{0}}{\varepsilon} + a_{1}(\partial_{x}h_{\varepsilon})^{2} + a_{2}\varepsilon(\partial_{x}h_{\varepsilon})^{4} + \cdots$

Theorem (Hairer-Quastel (15'))

For F even polynomial, h_{ε} converges to the solution of $KPZ(\lambda)$, where λ depends on all coefficients of F.

Microscopic growth model (*F* nice even function)

$$\partial_t h = \partial_x^2 h + \sqrt{\varepsilon} F(\partial_x h) + \eta,$$

Rescale to macroscopic process $h_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}h(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon) - C_{\varepsilon}t$:

$$\partial_t h_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 h_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1} F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x h) + \eta_{\varepsilon} - C_{\varepsilon}.$$

F polynomial: $\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_{x}h_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{a_{0}}{\varepsilon} + a_{1}(\partial_{x}h_{\varepsilon})^{2} + a_{2}\varepsilon(\partial_{x}h_{\varepsilon})^{4} + \cdots$

Theorem (Hairer-Quastel (15'))

For F even polynomial, h_{ε} converges to the solution of KPZ(λ), where λ depends on all coefficients of F.

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} F''(x) \mu(dx), \qquad \mu = \operatorname{Law}(\partial_x P * \eta).$$

Theorem (Hairer-X., in progress)

F even, C^6 with polynomial growth, then convergence to KPZ.

Theorem (Hairer-X., in progress)

F even, C^6 with polynomial growth, then convergence to KPZ.

Let

$$\partial_t Z_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 Z_{\varepsilon} + \eta_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \Psi_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x Z_{\varepsilon},$$

then $u_{arepsilon} = h_{arepsilon} - Z_{arepsilon}$ solves

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1} F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Psi_{\varepsilon} + \partial_x u_{\varepsilon})) - C_{\varepsilon}.$$

 $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, and expect $\|\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-\kappa})$.

Theorem (Hairer-X., in progress)

F even, C^6 with polynomial growth, then convergence to KPZ.

Let

$$\partial_t Z_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 Z_{\varepsilon} + \eta_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \Psi_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x Z_{\varepsilon},$$

then $u_{arepsilon} = h_{arepsilon} - Z_{arepsilon}$ solves

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1} F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Psi_{\varepsilon} + \partial_x u_{\varepsilon})) - C_{\varepsilon}.$$

 $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{O}(1)$, and expect $\|\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-\kappa})$. Taylor expand F:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-}).$$

$$(\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})-C_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-}).$$

First step to show:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) - C_{\varepsilon} \to \lambda \Psi^{\diamond 2}, \quad F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) \to 2\lambda \Psi, \quad F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) \to 2\lambda.$$

$$(\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})-C_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-}).$$

First step to show:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) - C_{\varepsilon} \to \lambda \Psi^{\diamond 2}, \quad F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) \to 2\lambda \Psi, \quad F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) \to 2\lambda.$$

Difficulty:

• Chaos series in general not naively summable even for analytic F.

$$(\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})-C_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-}).$$

First step to show:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) - C_{\varepsilon} o \lambda \Psi^{\diamond 2}, \quad F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) o 2\lambda \Psi, \quad F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) o 2\lambda.$$

Difficulty:

- Chaos series in general not naively summable even for analytic F.
- Convergence takes place in a weak space.

$$(\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})-C_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-}).$$

First step to show:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) - C_{\varepsilon} o \lambda \Psi^{\diamond 2}, \quad F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) o 2\lambda \Psi, \quad F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) o 2\lambda.$$

Difficulty:

- Chaos series in general not naively summable even for analytic F.
- Convergence takes place in a weak space.

Main tricks:

• Fourier expand $F, F', F'' \rightarrow$ need to control high frequencies.

$$(\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})-C_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-}).$$

First step to show:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{rac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})-C_{\varepsilon}
ightarrow\lambda\Psi^{\diamond2}, \quad F'(\varepsilon^{rac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})
ightarrow 2\lambda\Psi, \quad F''(\varepsilon^{rac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})
ightarrow 2\lambda.$$

Difficulty:

- Chaos series in general not naively summable even for analytic F.
- Convergence takes place in a weak space.

Main tricks:

- Fourier expand $F, F', F'' \rightarrow$ need to control high frequencies.
- Clustering before chaos expansion + trigonometric identities to employ cancellations ~> polynomial control for high frequency.

$$(\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})-C_{\varepsilon})+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})+\frac{1}{2}F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon})(\partial_{x}u_{\varepsilon})^{2}+\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}-}).$$

First step to show:

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) - C_{\varepsilon} o \lambda \Psi^{\diamond 2}, \quad F'(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) o 2\lambda \Psi, \quad F''(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi_{\varepsilon}) o 2\lambda.$$

Difficulty:

- Chaos series in general not naively summable even for analytic F.
- Convergence takes place in a weak space.

Main tricks:

- Fourier expand $F, F', F'' \rightarrow$ need to control high frequencies.
- Clustering before chaos expansion + trigonometric identities to employ cancellations ~> polynomial control for high frequency.
- \bullet Self-improvement to \mathcal{C}^6 once one has a polynomial control.

Further questions

• F(u) = |u|?

Further questions

• F(u) = |u|?

2 Treat Φ_3^4 with general nonlinearities.

Further questions

• F(u) = |u|?

2 Treat Φ_3^4 with general nonlinearities.

On-Gaussian noise.