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## 1 General mathematical context

Interface between "stochastic processes" and "deterministic world".

Benchmark situation: bridge between semilinear PDEs and BSDEs.

PDE:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{s} u(s, x)+L_{s} u(s, x)+f\left(s, x, u(s, x), \sigma \partial_{x} u(s, x)\right)=0  \tag{1}\\
u(T, x)=g(x), s \in[0, T], x \in E=\mathbb{R}^{d},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $L_{s}$ is the generator of a diffusion of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{s}=\sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+b\left(t, X_{s}\right) d s, X_{t}=x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

BSDE: (2) is coupled with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{s}=g\left(X_{T}\right)+\int_{s}^{T} f\left(s, X_{r}, Y_{r}, Z_{r}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{T} Z_{r} d W_{r} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The link is the following.

1. If $u$ is a classical solution of (1) then

$$
Y_{s}=u\left(s, X_{s}\right), Z_{s}=\sigma\left(s, X_{s}\right) \nabla u\left(s, X_{s}\right)
$$

provide a solution to (3).
2. Viceversa if, given $(t, x) \in[0, T] \times E$ and $X^{t, x}$ is given by (2), ( $X^{t, x}, Y^{t, x}, Z^{t, x}$ ) is a solution to (3), then $u(t, x):=Y_{t}^{t, x}$ is a viscosity solution to (1).

What about $v(t, x):=Z_{t}^{t, x}$ ?
6 If $u$ is of class $C^{0,1}$ then $v(t, x)=\sigma(t, x) \nabla u(t, x)$.
6 What happens in general? Only partial answers even in the Brownian case.

This talk and the mentioned references discuss some issues related to this problem when $W$ is replaced by a cadlag martingale.

## 2 Financial Motivations

2.1 Hedging in a complete market

Let $T>0,(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a complete probability space with a filtration $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}, \mathcal{F}_{0}$ being the trivial $\sigma$-algebra.

6 $S$ price of a risky asset.

- $B$ price of a riskless asset.


## Complete market.

For any random variable $h$, there exists a self-financing strategy $\left(\nu_{t}\right)_{t \in[0, T]}$ perfectly replicating $h$, i.e. a trading strategy that starts from an initial wealth $V_{0}$ and re-invests the gain/loss from $S$ on the riskless asset $B$.
If we suppose that the riskless asset price is constant, this reduces to

$$
V_{0}+\int_{0}^{T} \nu_{u} d S_{u}=h .
$$

### 2.2 Hedging in the presence of basis risk

Basis risk.
Risk arising when a derivative product $h$ is based on a non-traded or illiquid underlying, but observable, and the replicating (hedging) portfolio is constituted of traded and liquid additional assets which are correlated with the original one.
Example:
Basket option hedged with a subset of the composing assets.

- Airline companies hedging kerosene exposure with correlated contacts, as crude oil or heating oil.

Consider a pair of processes ( $X, S$ ) and a contingent claim of the type $h:=g\left(X_{T}, S_{T}\right)$.
$X$ is a non traded or illiquid, but observable asset.

- $S$ is a traded asset, correlated to $X$.

6 $B$ is riskless asset. We suppose $B$ to be constant.
Hedging problem: construct a trading strategy on the assets $(B, S)$ in order to replicate the random variable $h$.

In this case, the market is incomplete: perfect replication with a self-financing strategy is not possible. One should define a risk aversion criterion, for example the following.

Utility-based criterion.

- Quadratic risk criteria: local risk minimization and mean-variance minimization.


### 2.3 Quadratic hedging: local and global risk

## minimization.

© Introduced by Föllmer and Sondermann [1985], for $S$ being a (local) martingale. In this case, the unique (local) risk-minimizing strategy is determined by the Kunita-Watanabe (K-W) representation of martingales.

- Extension to the semimartingale case is more delicate, and was handled by Schweizen [1988, 1991]. Its existence is linked to the existence of the so-called Föllmer-Schweizer (F-S) decomposition, a generalization of the (K-W) representation.
© Global risk minimization. Again F-S decomposition.


### 2.4 Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition

Mean-variance hedging is closely related to the so called Föllmer-Schweizer (F-S) decomposition.
Definition 1 Let $S=M^{S}+V^{S}, V_{0}^{S}=0$ be a special semimartingale. A square integrable random variable $h$ admits an F -S decomposition if

$$
h=h_{0}+\int_{0}^{T} Z_{u} d S_{u}+O_{T}
$$

where $h_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, Z \in \Theta$ and $O$ is a square integrable martingale, strongly orthogonal to $M^{S}$.

Definition 2 Let $L$ and $N$ be two $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-local martingales, with null initial value. $L$ and $N$ are said to be strongly orthogonal if $L N$ is a local martingale.

Example 3 If $L$ and $N$ are locally square integrable, then they are strongly orthogonal if and only if $\langle L, N\rangle=0$.

### 2.5 F-S decomposition via a backward SDE

If $\left(h_{0}, Z, O\right)$ is an F -S decomposition, then the process $Y_{t}:=h_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} Z_{u} d S_{u}+O_{t}$ verifies

$$
Y_{t}:=h-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{u} d M_{u}^{S}-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{u} d V_{u}^{S}-\left(O_{T}-O_{t}\right),
$$

which is a Backward Stochastic Differential Equation, driven by a local martingale, where the final condition $Y_{T}=h$ is known.
The resolution of the BSDE is a method to determine the F -S decomposition.

## 3 Backward Stochastic Differential

## Equations

3.1 BSDEs driven by a Brownian motion

BSDEs were introduced by Pardoux and Peng [1990]. Pioneering work by Bismut [1973].

- Given a pair $(h, \hat{f})$ called terminal condition and driver.

6 One looks for a pair of (adapted) processes $(Y, Z)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=h+\int_{t}^{T} \hat{f}\left(\omega, s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d W_{s}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{t}\right|^{2} d t<\infty
$$

### 3.2 Existence and uniqueness

6 Pardoux and Peng [1990] showed existence and uniqueness when $\hat{f}$ is globally Lipschitz with respect to $(y, z)$ and $h$ being square integrable.
Conditions on the driver $\hat{f}$ were first relaxed to a monotonicity condition on $y$, later to a quadratic growth condition and other generalizations, see e.g. Hamadene [1996], Lepeltier and San Martín [1998], Kobylanski [2000], Briand and Hu [2006, 2008].

- Applications to finance: El Karoui et al. [1997].

6 Extension to reflected BSDEs...

### 3.3 BSDEs and semi-linear parabolic PDEs

Consider the BSDE

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{s}^{t, x}=g\left(X_{T}^{t, x}\right)+\int_{s}^{T} f\left(s, X_{r}^{t, x}, Y_{r}^{t, x}, Z_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r-\int_{s}^{T} Z_{r}^{t, x} d W_{r}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{X_{s}^{t, x}, t \leq s \leq T\right\}$ is a solution of the SDE

$$
X_{s}^{t, x}=x+\int_{t}^{s} b\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d r+\int_{t}^{s} \sigma\left(r, X_{r}^{t, x}\right) d W_{r}, t \leq s \leq T
$$

## Link with the semi-linear parabolic PDE.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u(t, x)+L_{t} u(t, x)+f\left(t, x, u(t, x), \sigma \partial_{x} u(t, x)\right)=0  \tag{6}\\
u(T, x)=g(x), t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.4 From semi-linear parabolic PDEs to

## BSDEs

Theorem 4 (Pardoux and Peng [1992]) Let
$u \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be a classical solution of (6) such that

$$
\left|\partial_{x} u(t, x)\right| \leq c\left(1+|x|^{q}\right), \text { for some } c, q>0 \text {. }
$$

Then, $\forall(t, x),\left(u\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right),\left(\sigma \partial_{x} u\right)\left(s, X_{s}^{t, x}\right)\right)_{s \in[t, T]}$ is solution of the BSDE (5).
In particular, under the conditions of well-posedness of the BSDE

$$
u(t, x)=Y_{t}^{t, x} .
$$

### 3.5 From BSDEs to semi-linear parabolic

## PDEs

Theorem 5 (Pardoux and Peng [1992]) Let $\left(Y_{s}^{t, x}, Z_{s}^{t, x}\right)_{s \in[t, T]}$ be the solution of the BSDE (5), then $u(t, x):=Y_{t}^{t, x}$ is a continuous function and it is a viscosity solution of the PDE (6).

This representation theorem can be seen as an extension of Feynman-Kac formula.

### 3.6 Extensions of BSDEs driven by Brownian

## Motion

© BSDE driven by a Brownian motion and a compensated random measure.

BSDE driven by a càdlàg martingale.

### 3.7 BSDEs driven by a càdlàg Martingale

Given a càdlàg (local) martingale $M^{S}$ and a bounded variation process $V^{S}$, one looks for a triplet ( $Y, Z, O$ ) verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=h+\int_{t}^{T} \hat{f}\left(\omega, s, Y_{s-}, Z_{s}\right) d V_{s}^{S}-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d M_{s}^{S}-\left(O_{T}-O_{t}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $O$ is (local) martingale strongly orthogonal to $M^{S}$.

- First contribution by Buckdahn [1993].

6 Other contributions, e.g. El Karoui and Huang [1997]. See also Briand et al. [2002], as side-effect of a convergence scheme.

- More recent setting for sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness for (7) has been given by Carbone et al. [2007].

BSDEs with partial information driven by càdlàg martingales were investigated by Ceci, Cretarola, Russo in Ceci et al. [2014a,b].

## 4 Contributions of the work

A forward BSDE, where the forward process solves a strong martingale problem. We focus on four tasks.

Characterize forward-backward SDEs via the solution of a deterministic problem generalizing the classical PDE appearing in the case of Brownian martingales.

Give applications to the hedging problem in the case of basis risk via the Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition.

Give explicit expressions when the pair of processes $(X, S)$ is an exponential of additive processes.

Extensions to the case when the forward process is given in law: strict and generalized solutions of the deterministic problem.

## 5 Strong Martingale Problem

### 5.1 Definition

Definition 6 Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\left(A_{t}\right)$ be an $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted b.v. continuous process, such that, a.s. $d A_{t} \ll d \rho_{t}$, for some b.v. function $\rho$, and $\mathcal{A}$ a map

$$
\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{C}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}
$$

We say that $(X, S)$ is a solution of the strong martingale problem related to $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A}, A)$, if for any $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, $\left(g\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right)\right)_{t}$ is a semimartingale such that

$$
t \longmapsto g\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{A}(g)\left(u, X_{u-}, S_{u-}\right) d A_{u}
$$

is an $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ - local martingale.

Notations 7 ब $\quad i d:(t, x, s) \longmapsto s, s^{2}:(t, x, s) \longmapsto s^{2}$.
For any $y \in \mathcal{C}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O}), \widetilde{y}:=y \times i d$.
Suppose that $i d \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$. For $y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\widetilde{y} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, we set $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(y):=\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{y})-y \mathcal{A}(i d)-i d \mathcal{A}(y)$.

Proposition 8 Suppose that $i d, s^{2} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$. Then $S$ is a special semimartingale with decomposition $M^{S}+V^{S}$ given below.

1. $V_{t}^{S}=\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{A}(i d)\left(u, X_{u-}, S_{u-}\right) d A_{u}$.
2. $\left\langle M^{S}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(i d)\left(u, X_{u-}, S_{u-}\right) d A_{u}$.

## Proof.

Item 2. follows from the following more general result.
Lemma 9 If $Y_{t}=y\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right), y, y \times i d \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, then

$$
\left\langle M^{Y}, M^{S}\right\rangle_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(y)\left(u, X_{u-}, S_{u-}\right) d A_{u} .
$$

### 5.2 Examples

Diffusion process: the operator $\mathcal{A}$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(f) & =\partial_{t} f+b_{S} \partial_{s} f+b_{X} \partial_{x} f \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|\sigma_{S}\right|^{2} \partial_{s s} f+\left|\sigma_{X}\right|^{2} \partial_{x x} f+2\left\langle\sigma_{S}, \sigma_{X}\right\rangle \partial_{s x} f\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

6 $S$ is a Markov process, with related Markov semigroup of generator $L$ : the operator $\mathcal{A}$ has the form

$$
\mathcal{A}(g)(t, s)=\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(t, s)+L g(t, \cdot)(s) .
$$

### 5.3 Exponential of additive processes

Definition $10\left(Z^{1}, Z^{2}\right)$ is said to be an additive process if $\left(Z^{1}, Z^{2}\right)_{0}=0,\left(Z^{1}, Z^{2}\right)$ is continuous in probability and it has independent increments. The generating function of ( $Z^{1}, Z^{2}$ ) is defined by

$$
\exp \left(\kappa_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right)=\mathbb{E} e^{z_{1} Z_{t}^{1}+z_{2} Z_{t}^{2}}, \forall\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in D
$$

where $D:=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid \quad \mathbb{E} e^{\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) Z_{T}^{1}+\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) Z_{T}^{2}}<\infty\right\}$. We denote also, for $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in D / 2$
$\rho_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right):=\kappa_{t}\left(z_{1}+y_{1}, z_{2}+y_{2}\right)-\kappa_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)-\kappa_{t}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$,

$$
\rho_{t}^{S}:=\kappa_{t}(0,2)-2 \kappa_{t}(0,1), \text { if }(0,1) \in D / 2 \text {. }
$$

We always suppose the validity of the following.
Assumption 11 (Basic assumption) $(0,2) \in D$. This is equivalent to the existence of the second order moment of $S=e^{Z^{2}}$.

### 5.4 First decomposition

We consider two processes $X=\exp \left(Z^{1}\right), S=\exp \left(Z^{2}\right)$. Lemma 12 Let $\lambda:[0, T] \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that, for any $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in D, d \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \ll d \rho_{t}^{S}$. Then for any $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & \mapsto M_{t}^{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=X_{t}^{z_{1}} S_{t}^{z_{2}} \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
& -\int_{0}^{t} X_{u-}^{z_{1}} S_{u-}^{z_{2}}\left\{\frac{d \lambda\left(u, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{d \rho_{u}^{S}}+\lambda\left(u, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d \kappa_{u}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{d \rho_{u}^{S}}\right\} \rho_{d u}^{S},
\end{aligned}
$$

is a martingale. Moreover, if $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in D / 2$ then $M^{\lambda}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is a square integrable martingale.

### 5.5 Strong Martingale Problem for

## exponential of additive processes

Theorem 13 Under some technical assumptions, $(X, S)$ is a solution of the strong martingale problem related to $\left(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A}, \rho^{S}\right)$ where, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of

$$
f:(t, x, s) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) x^{z_{1}} s^{z_{2}} \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)
$$

where $\Pi$ is a finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$, $\lambda:[0, T] \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ Borel verifying a set of conditions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(f)(t, x, s)= & \int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) x^{z_{1}} s^{z_{2}} \\
& \left\{\frac{d \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}}+\lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d \kappa_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Deterministic problem related to

 BSDEs driven by a martingale6.1 Forward-backward SDE

We consider a pair of $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted processes $(X, S)$ fulfilling the martingale problem related to $(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A}, A)$. We are interested in the BSDE

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t} & =g\left(X_{T}, S_{T}\right)+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(r, X_{r-}, S_{r-}, Y_{r-}, Z_{r}\right) d A_{r}-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{r} d M_{r}^{S} \\
& -\left(O_{T}-O_{t}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

1. $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted, $\left(Z_{t}\right)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-predictable
2. $\int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2} d\left\langle M^{S}\right\rangle_{s}<\infty$ a.s.
3. $\int_{0}^{t}\left|f\left(s, X_{s-}, S_{s-}, Y_{s-}, Z_{s}\right)\right| d\|A\|_{s}<\infty$ a.s.
4. $\left(O_{t}\right)$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-local martingale such that $\left\langle O, M^{S}\right\rangle=0$ and $O_{0}=0$ a.s.

### 6.2 Related deterministic analysis

Goal. Look for solutions $(Y, Z, O)$ of the BSDE for which there is a function $y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\widetilde{y}=y \times i d \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ and a locally bounded Borel function $z:[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{t}=y\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right), \\
& Z_{t}=z\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right), \quad \forall t \in[0, T] .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $M^{S}$ is a Brownian motion, $y$ is a solution of a semilinear PDE.
6 General case ?

### 6.3 Deterministic problem (Pseudo-PDE)

Theorem 14 Suppose the existence of a function $y$, such that $y, \widetilde{y}:=y \times$ id belong to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, and a Borel locally bounded function $z$, solving the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathcal{A}(y)(t, x, s)= & -f(t, x, s, y(t, x, s), z(t, x, s)) \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(y)(t, x, s)= & z(t, x, s) \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(i d)(t, x, s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the terminal condition $y(T, .,)=.g(.,$.$) .$
Then the triplet $(Y, Z, O)$ defined by

$$
Y_{t}=y\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right), Z_{t}=z\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right)
$$

is a solution to the $B S D E$ (8).

## 7 Special case of the Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition.

7.1 Weak F-S decomposition

Definition 15 We say that a square integrable $\mathcal{F}_{T}$-measurable random variable $h$ admits a weak F-S decomposition $\left(h_{0}, Z, O\right)$ with respect to $S$ if it can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=h_{0}+\int_{0}^{T} Z_{s} d S_{s}+O_{T}, \mathbb{P}-\text { a.s. } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{0}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable r.v., $Z$ is a predictable process such that $\int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2} d\left\langle M^{S}\right\rangle_{s}<\infty$ a.s., $\int_{0}^{T}\left|Z_{s}\right| d\left\|V^{S}\right\|_{s}<\infty$ a.s. and $O$ is a local martingale such that $\left\langle O, M^{S}\right\rangle=0$ with $O_{0}=0$.

### 7.2 Link to BSDEs

Finding a weak F-S decomposition $\left(h_{0}, Z, O\right)$ for some r.v. $h$ is equivalent to provide a solution $(Y, Z, O)$ of the BSDE

$$
Y_{t}=h-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d S_{s}-\left(O_{T}-O_{t}\right) .
$$

The link is given by $Y_{0}=h_{0}$. Here the driver $f$ is linear in $z$, of the form

$$
f(t, x, s, y, z)=-\mathcal{A}(i d)(t, x, s) z
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The weak F-S decomposition can be linked to a deterministic problem (Pseudo-PDE).

### 7.3 Weak Vs True F-S decomposition

Remark 16 Setting $h_{0}=y\left(0, X_{0}, S_{0}\right)$, the triplet $\left(h_{0}, Z, O\right)$ is a candidate for a true $F$-S decomposition. Sufficient conditions for this are the following.

1. $h=g\left(X_{T}, S_{T}\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.
2. $\left(z\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right)\right)_{t} \in \Theta$ i.e.
© $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{T}\left|z\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right)\right|^{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(i d)\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right) d A_{t}<\infty$.
(6) $\mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|z\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right)\right|\left\|\mathcal{A}(i d)\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right) d A\right\|_{t}\right)^{2}<\infty$.
3. $\left(y\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{A}(y)\left(u, X_{u-}, S_{u-}\right) d A_{u}\right)_{t}$ is an
$\mathcal{F}_{t}$-square integrable martingale.

Corollary 17 (Application of the theorem for general BSDEs) Let $y$ (resp. $z$ ): $[0, T] \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We suppose the following.

1. $y, \widetilde{y}:=y \times$ id belong to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$.
2. $\int_{0}^{T} z^{2}\left(r, X_{r-}, S_{r-}\right) \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(i d)\left(r, X_{r-}, S_{r-}\right) d A_{r}<\infty$ a.s.
3. $(y, z)$ solves the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{A}(y)(t, x, s)=\mathcal{A}(i d)(t, x, s) z(t, x, s),  \tag{9}\\
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(y)(t, x, s)=\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(i d)(t, x, s) z(t, x, s),
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the terminal condition $y(T, .,)=.g(.,$.$) .$

Then the triplet $\left(Y_{0}, Z, O\right)$, where
$Y_{t}=y\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right), Z_{t}=z\left(t, X_{t-}, S_{t-}\right), O_{t}=Y_{t}-Y_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} d S_{s}$,
is a weak F -S decomposition of $h$.

### 7.4 Application 1: exponential of additive

## processes

$(X, S)=\left(e^{Z^{1}}, e^{Z^{2}}\right)$ is an exponential of additive processes. Example 18 Goal. Use the Pseudo-PDE to give explicit expressions of a weak $F$-S of an $\mathcal{F}_{T}$-measurable random variable $h$ of the form $h:=g\left(X_{T}, S_{T}\right)$ for a function $g$ of the form

$$
g(x, s)=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) x^{z_{1}} s^{z_{2}},
$$

where $\Pi$ is finite Borel complex measure.

Existence and uniqueness.
Proposition 19 Suppose the validity of the
Basic assumption and

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left(\frac{d \kappa_{t}(0,1)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}}\right)^{2} d \rho_{t}^{S}<\infty
$$

Then any square integrable variable admits a unique true $F$-S decomposition.
The proof makes use of a general existence and uniqueness theorem by Monat and Stricken [1995].

## Idea.

In agreement with the definition of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, we select $y$ of the form

$$
y(t, x, s)=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) x^{z_{1}} s^{z_{2}} \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right),
$$

where $\Pi$ is the same finite complex measure as in the definition of $h$ and $\lambda:[0, T] \times \mathbb{C}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
The deterministic equations in the corollary write as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) x^{z_{1}} s^{z_{2}}\left\{\frac{d \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}}+\lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \frac{d \kappa_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}}\right\} \\
\quad=s \frac{d \kappa_{t}(0,1)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}} z(t, x, s) \\
\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) x^{z_{1}} s^{z_{2}+1} \frac{d \rho_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0,1\right)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}}=s^{2} z(t, x, s) \\
y(T, \cdot, \cdot)=g
\end{array}\right.
$$

Unknown: $\lambda \Rightarrow$ can be determined through the resolution of an ODE in $t$.

Theorem 20 (Weak F-S decomposition) Let $\lambda$ be defined as $\lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\exp \left(\int_{t}^{T} \eta\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, d u\right)\right), \forall\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in D / 2$, where

$$
\eta\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, t\right)=\kappa_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d \rho_{u}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0,1\right)}{d \rho_{u}^{S}} \kappa_{d u}(0,1) .
$$

Then, under some technical assumptions, $\left(Y_{0}, Z, O\right)$ is a weak $F$-S decomposition of $h$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t} & =\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) X_{t}^{z_{1}} S_{t}^{z_{2}} \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
Z_{t} & =\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) X_{t-}^{z_{1}} S_{t-}^{z_{2}-1} \lambda\left(t, z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \gamma_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), \\
O_{t} & =Y_{t}-Y_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} d S_{s} \text { and } \\
\gamma_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) & =\frac{d \rho_{t}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, 0,1\right)}{d \rho_{t}^{S}}, \forall\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in D / 2, t \in[0, T]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 21 (True F-S decomposition) Under slightly stronger assumptions as in Theorem above, the weak F-S decomposition of

$$
h=\int_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} d \Pi\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) X_{T}^{z_{1}} S_{T}^{z_{2}}
$$

above is a true F-S decomposition. Moreover, if $h$ is real-valued then the decomposition $\left(h_{0}, Z, O\right)$ is real-valued and it is therefore the unique F-S decomposition.
Example 22 This statement is a generalization of the results of [Oudjane, Goutte and Russo, 2014] to the case of hedging under basis risk.

### 7.5 Application 2: diffusion processes

Let $(X, S)$ be a diffusion process with drift $\left(b_{X}, b_{S}\right)$ and volatility $\left(\sigma_{X}, \sigma_{S}\right)$.
Assumption $23 \quad b_{X}, b_{S}, \sigma_{X}$ and $\sigma_{S}$ are continuous and globally Lipschitz.
(6 $g: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.
( $X, S$ ) solve the strong martingale problem related to
$(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A}, A)$ where $A_{t}=t$,
$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})=\mathcal{C}^{1,2}\left(\left[0, T[\times \mathcal{O}) \cap \mathcal{C}^{1}([0, T] \times \mathcal{O})\right.\right.$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(y) & =\partial_{t} y+b_{S} \partial_{s} y+b_{X} \partial_{x} y \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left|\sigma_{S}\right|^{2} \partial_{s s} y+\left|\sigma_{X}\right|^{2} \partial_{x x} y+2\left\langle\sigma_{S}, \sigma_{X}\right\rangle \partial_{s x} y\right\}, \\
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(y) & =\left|\sigma_{S}\right|^{2} \partial_{s} y+\left\langle\sigma_{S}, \sigma_{X}\right\rangle \partial_{x} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 24 Goal. characterize the (weak) F-S decomposition of $h:=g\left(X_{T}, S_{T}\right)$.

Theorem 25 (Weak F-S decomposition) We suppose the validity of Assumption 23. and that $\left|\sigma_{S}\right|$ is always strictly positive. If $(y, z)$ is a solution of the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} y+B \partial_{x} y+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\sigma_{S}\right|^{2} \partial_{s s} y+\left|\sigma_{X}\right|^{2} \partial_{x x} y+2\left\langle\sigma_{S}, \sigma_{X}\right\rangle \partial_{s x} y\right)=0, \\
y(T, ., .)=g(., .), \text { where } B=b_{X}-b_{S} \frac{\left\langle\sigma_{S}, \sigma_{X}\right\rangle}{\left|\sigma_{S}\right|^{2}}, \\
z=\partial_{s} y+\frac{\left\langle\sigma_{S}, \sigma_{X}\right\rangle}{\left|\sigma_{S}\right|^{2}} \partial_{x} y, \tag{10}
\end{array}\right.
$$

such that $y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, then $\left(Y_{0}, Z, O\right)$ is a weak F -S decomposition of $g\left(X_{T}, S_{T}\right)$, where
$Y_{t}=y\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right), Z_{t}=z\left(t, X_{t}, S_{t}\right), O_{t}=Y_{t}-Y_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s} d S_{s}$.
Remark 26 1. Under slightly stronger assumption one can give conditions for the existence of a true Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition.
2. Black-Scholes was treated by H'Hulley and McWalter [2008].

## 8 Extensions: BSDE vs Pseudo-PDE

Until now we have essentially shown that a solution to a blue Pseudo-PDE provide solutions to BSDEs driven by cadlag martingales.

6 More problematic is the converse implication.
Barrasso and Russo [2017a,b].

Let $E$ be a Polish space. Let $\mathbb{P}^{t, x}$ be a Markov class family of probability measures under which the canonical process $X$ on $D([0, T] ; E)$ solves a martingale problem to $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A}, \rho)$. Let us denote $M^{S}:=M_{s}^{i d, t}:=S_{s}-x-\int_{t}^{s} \mathcal{A}(i d)\left(S_{r}\right) d \rho(r)$. We consider $\operatorname{BSDE}\left(f, g\left(S_{T}\right), M\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{s} & =g\left(S_{T}\right)+\int_{s}^{T} f\left(r, S_{r-}, Y_{r-}, Z_{r}\right) d \rho_{r}-\int_{s}^{T} Z_{r} d M_{r}^{S} \\
& -\left(O_{T}-O_{s}\right), s \in[t, T] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

under $\mathbb{P}^{t, x}$.

Let us suppose the following.
$i d \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$.
$\left\langle M^{S}\right\rangle$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\rho$.
Let us suppose suitable growth condition on $g$ and Lipschitz on $f$.
"Theorems"
Then (11) admits a unique solution ( $Y^{t, x}, Z^{t, x}, O^{t, x}$ ) in some suitable spaces.

- There is a "unique" couple $(y, z)$ of Borel functions such that $y(t, x)=Y^{t, x}$, and $Z_{s}^{t, x}=z\left(s, X_{s}\right)$ a.s. under $\mathbb{P}^{t, x}$.
The couple $(y, z)$ is a so called decoupled mild solution of the system.

6 There is a unique decoupled mild solution of Pseudo-PDE $(f, g)$.

## Thank you for your attention!

## References

A. Barrasso and F. Russo. Backward Stochastic Differential Equations with no driving martingale, Markov processes and associated Pseudo Partial Differential Equations. 2017a. Preprint, hal-01431559, v2.
A. Barrasso and F. Russo. Decoupled Mild solutions for Pseudo Partial Differential Equations versus Martingale driven forward-backward SDEs. 2017b. Preprint, hal01505974.
J.-M. Bismut. Conjugate convex functions in optimal stochastic control. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 44:384-404, 1973.

Ph. Briand, B. Delyon, and J. Mémin. On the robustness of backward stochastic differential equations. Stochastic Process. Appl., 97(2):229-253, 2002. doi: 10.1016/ S0304-4149(01)00131-4.

Philippe Briand and Ying Hu. BSDE with quadratic growth and unbounded terminal value. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 136(4):604-618, 2006. ISSN 0178-8051. doi: 10.1007/ s00440-006-0497-0.

Philippe Briand and Ying Hu. Quadratic BSDEs with convex generators and unbounded terminal conditions. Probab. The-
ory Related Fields, 141(3-4):543-567, 2008. ISSN 01788051. doi: 10.1007/s00440-007-0093-y.
R. Buckdahn. Backward stochastic differential equations driven by a martingale. Unpublished, 1993.
R. Carbone, B. Ferrario, and M. Santacroce. Backward stochastic differential equations driven by càdlàg martingales. Teor. Veroyatn. Primen., 52(2):375-385, 2007. doi: 10.1137/S0040585X97983055.

Claudia Ceci, Alessandra Cretarola, and Francesco Russo. GKW representation theorem under restricted information. An application to risk-minimization. Stoch. Dyn., 14(2): 1350019, 23, 2014a. ISSN 0219-4937. doi: 10.1142/ S0219493713500196.

Claudia Ceci, Alessandra Cretarola, and Francesco Russo. BSDEs under partial information and financial applications. Stochastic Process. Appl., 124(8):2628-2653, 2014b. ISSN 0304-4149. doi: 10.1016/j.spa.2014.03.003.
N. El Karoui and S.-J. Huang. A general result of existence and uniqueness of backward stochastic differential equations. In Backward stochastic differential equations (Paris, 1995-1996), volume 364 of Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., pages 27-36. Longman, Harlow, 1997.
N. El Karoui, S. Peng, and M. C. Quenez. Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. Math. Finance, 7(1):1-71, 1997. doi: 10.1111/1467-9965.00022.

Hans Föllmer and Dieter Sondermann. Hedging of nonredundant contingent claims. Discussion Paper Serie B 3, University of Bonn, Germany, May 1985.
S. Hamadene. Équations différentielles stochastiques rétrogrades: les cas localement lipschitzien. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist., 32(5):645-659, 1996.
H. Hulley and T. A. McWalter. Quadratic hedging of basis risk. Research Paper Series 225, Quantitative Finance Research Centre, University of Technology, Sydney, 2008.

Magdalena Kobylanski. Backward stochastic differential equations and partial differential equations with quadratic growth. Ann. Probab., 28(2):558-602, 2000. doi: 10.1214/aop/ 1019160253.
J.-P. Lepeltier and J. San Martín. Existence for BSDE with superlinear-quadratic coefficient. Stochastics Stochastics Rep., 63(3-4):227-240, 1998.

Pascale Monat and Christophe Stricker. Föllmer-Schweizer decomposition and mean-variance hedging for general claims. Ann. Probab., 23(2):605-628, 1995.

É. Pardoux and S. Peng. Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. In Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications (Charlotte, NC, 1991), volume 176 of Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci., pages 200-217. Springer, Berlin, 1992. doi: 10.1007/BFb0007334.

É. Pardoux and S. G. Peng. Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. Systems Control Lett., 14(1): 55-61, 1990. doi: 10.1016/0167-6911(90)90082-6.

Martin Schweizer. Hedging of options in a general semimartingale model. PhD thesis, Diss. Math. ETH Zürich, Nr. 8615, 1988. Ref.: H. Föllmer; Korref.: HR Künsch, 1988.

Martin Schweizer. Option hedging for semimartingales. Stochastic Process. Appl., 37(2):339-363, 1991. doi: 10. 1016/0304-4149(91)90053-F.

