Simulation methods based on the parametrix A second order method

Arturo Kohatsu-Higa* co-authored with P. Andersson (Uppsala) and T. Yuasa (current PhD)

> Ritsumeikan University LMS-EPSRC Durham Symposium-Stochastic Analysis

> > July, 2017

^{*}Supported by KAKENHI 24340022, 16H03642, 16K05215

Outline

Goal: Unbiased simulatable formula for $\mathbb{E}[f(X_T)]$

Methods for obtaining the unbiased formula

The probabilistic parametrix method

A general methodology

Creating a second order method

Simulation experiments

Some Conclusions

Goal: Obtain formulas of the type

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_T)] = \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_T^{\pi})Z_T\right],$$

$$Z_T = \mathbf{1}_{N_T=0} + \mathbf{1}_{N_T>0} \prod_{i=0}^{N_T-1} \theta_{\tau_{i+1}-\tau_i}(\bar{X}_{\tau_i}^{\pi}, \bar{X}_{\tau_{i+1}}^{\pi}).$$

- 1. $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv \{f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a bounded measurable function}\}.$
- 2. \bar{X}^{π} : approximation process for X defined for any partition π of [0, T].
- 3. *N* is a Poisson process with jump times $\{\tau_i\}_i$ independent of $\{\overline{X}^{\pi}; \pi\}$.
- 4. In the above formula, we abuse the notation letting $\pi := \{\tau_i\}_i$.

Ways of reading the formula:

- 1. Girsanov Theorem
- 2. Feynman-Kac formula
- 3. Multi-level Monte Carlo

Ways of obtaining this formula

- 1. Taylor expansion
- 2. Malliavin Calculus
- 3. Probabilistic Parametrix method

Expand $f(x) - f(x_0)$. Define $g(\alpha) = f(x_0 + \alpha(x - x_0))$ then by mean value theorem

$$f(x)-f(x_0) = g(1)-g(0) = \int_0^1 g'(\alpha)d\alpha = \int_0^1 f'(x_0+\alpha(x-x_0))d\alpha(x-x_0).$$

Notice the relation Functional Distance = Derivative \times Distance between arguments. The Taylor formula for a analytic function f can be rewritten as

$$f(x) = e^{\lambda} \mathbb{E}\left[f^N(x_0)(\lambda^{-1}(x-x_0))^N\right]$$

We intend to show one method to do this in infinite dimensions.

The probabilistic parametrix method The goal is to repeat this argument for $\mathbb{E}[f(X_T)]$ for

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s^{\dagger}.$$

The approximation is

$$\bar{X}_t = x_0 + \sigma(x_0) W_t.$$

Goal: Find an expansion for $\mathbb{E}[f(X_T)] - \mathbb{E}[f(\overline{X}_T)]$ in powers of T for a class of functions f.

Let $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x)]$ with generator *L*. By Itô's formula and IBP:

$$P_{T-r}f(\bar{X}_r) \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{=} P_Tf(x) + \int_0^r (\bar{L} - L)P_{T-t}f(\bar{X}_t)dt,$$
$$f(X_T) \stackrel{\mathbb{E}}{=} f(\bar{X}_T) + \int_0^T ds P_s f(\bar{X}_{T-s})\theta_{T-s}(x, \bar{X}_{T-s}).$$

Here for any $t \in (0, T]$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, a \equiv \sigma \sigma^* \in C_b^2$ and uniformly elliptic

$$\theta_t(x,y) = 2^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[H^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t,a^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t)-a^{i,j}(x)) \middle| \bar{X}_t = y \right].$$

[†]When we would like to emphasize the initial point x_0 , we will use $X_t^{x_0}$ instead of X_t .

$$\theta_t(x,y) = 2^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[H^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t,a^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t) - a^{i,j}(x)) \middle| \bar{X}_t = y \right].$$

The rate of degeneration of $\theta_t(x, \bar{X}_t) = O(t^{-1/2})$. Therefore, there exists a constant *C* which depends on $||f||_{\infty}$, $||a||_{2,\infty}$ and *T* such that

$$\sup_{x} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[f(X_T^x) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[f(\bar{X}_T^x) \right] \right| \le CT^{1/2}$$

Here $H^i(\bar{X}_T, Y)$ denotes the IBP weight with respect to \bar{X}_T (Gaussian) in the sense that $\mathbb{E}[\partial_i f(\bar{X}_T)Y] = \mathbb{E}[f(\bar{X}_T)H^i(\bar{X}_T, Y)]$. This is a scheme of order one.

Now we build the unbiased scheme by randomization of time.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \theta_s(x,\bar{X}_s) P_{T-s}f(\bar{X}_s)ds\right] = T\mathbb{E}\left[\theta_U(x,\bar{X}_U) P_{T-U}f(\bar{X}_U)\right].$$

Here U is a uniform random variable on [0, T] independent of W.

Repeating the argument and remembering that condition on N_T the jump times of the Poisson process are distributed according to the order statistics, one obtains the final formula.

Assume that $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\sigma \in C_b^{\infty}$ and uniformly elliptic. Define

$$Z_t := e^{\lambda t} \prod_{i=0}^{N_t-1} \lambda^{-1} \theta_{\tau_{i+1}-\tau_i}(\bar{X}^{\pi}_{\tau_i}, \bar{X}^{\pi}_{\tau_{i+1}}).$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_T)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_T^{\pi})Z_T\right].$$

But this formula due to the degeneration of θ_t has infinite variance in most cases. Importance sampling in time is one solution.

Theorem Fix $\mu \ge 0$, q > 0. Suppose that there exists \mathbb{R} -valued measurable functions $\eta_t(x, y)$, $\theta_t(x, y)$, $\theta_t^{\eta}(x, y)$, $0 < t \le T$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ s.t. they satisfy the following integrability estimate. There exists a constant *C*

► $\sup_{x} \mathbb{E}[|\theta_t(x, \bar{X}_t^x)(1 + \eta_t(x, \bar{X}_t^x)) + \theta_t^{\eta}(x, \bar{X}_t^x)|] \le Ct^{(q-2)/2},$

•
$$\sup_x \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \mathbb{E}[|\eta_t(x, \bar{X}_t^x)|] \le C.$$

Furthermore assume that the following first order expansion formula is valid for $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $0 < t \leq r \leq T$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mu T^{q/2}}f(X_T)\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_T)(1+\eta_T(x,\bar{X}_T))\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T ds e^{\mu s^{q/2}} P_s f(\bar{X}_{T-s})\Theta_{T-s}^T(x,\bar{X}_{T-s})\right],$$

$$\Theta_t^r(x,y) := 2^{-1} \mu q(r-t)^{(q-2)/2} (1 + \eta_t(x,y)) + \theta_t(x,y)(1 + \eta_t(x,y)) + \theta_t^{\eta}(x,y).$$

Then one has the following error estimate. There exists a constant *C* which depends on $||f||_{\infty}$, $||a||_{2,\infty}$ and *T* such that

$$\sup_{x} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\mu T^{q/2}} f(X_T^x) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[f(\bar{X}_T^x) (1 + \eta_T(x, \bar{X}_T^x)) \right] \right| \le C T^{q/2}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_{T})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_{T}^{\pi})Z_{T}\right],$$
$$Z_{T} := e^{\lambda T - \mu T^{q/2}} (1 + \eta_{T - \tau_{N_{T}}}(\bar{X}_{\tau_{N_{T}}}^{\pi}, \bar{X}_{T}^{\pi})) \prod_{i=0}^{N_{T} - 1} \lambda^{-1} \Theta_{\tau_{i+1} - \tau_{i}}^{T - \tau_{i}}(\bar{X}_{\tau_{i}}^{\pi}, \bar{X}_{\tau_{i+1}}^{\pi}).$$

Moreover, suppose that $q \ge 2$ and if for fixed p > 0, one has that there exists a constant *C* such that

 $\blacktriangleright \sup_{x} \mathbb{E}[|\theta_{t}(x, \bar{X}_{t}^{x})(1 + \eta_{t}(x, \bar{X}_{t}^{x})) + \theta_{t}^{\eta}(x, \bar{X}_{t}^{x})|^{p}] \leq Ct^{(q-2)p/2},$

•
$$\sup_x \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \mathbb{E}[|\eta_t(x, \bar{X}_t^x)|^p] \le C.$$

Then $\mathbb{E}\left[|Z_T|^p\right] < \infty$.

Exponential scaling: $e^{-\mu T^{q/2}}$. Poisson sampling: $e^{\lambda T}$ Next: How to obtain a second order method. Studying the residue for a second order method (but it has to be simple and iterative)

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_{t})\theta_{t}(x,\bar{X}_{t})\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_{t})2^{-1}H^{i,j}(\bar{X}_{t},a^{i,j}(\bar{X}_{t})-a^{i,j}(x))\right] \\ &= 2^{-1}\partial_{m}a^{i,j}(x)\mathbb{E}\left[\partial_{i,j}f(\bar{X}_{t})(\bar{X}_{t}-x)^{m}\right] + O(1) \\ &= 2^{-1}a^{k,l}\partial_{l}a^{i,j}(x)\mathbb{E}\left[\partial_{i,j}f(\bar{X}_{t})a^{-1}_{k,m}(\bar{X}_{t}-x)^{m}\right] + O(1) \\ &= 2^{-1}ta^{k,l}\partial_{l}a^{i,j}(x)\mathbb{E}\left[\partial_{i,j,k}f(\bar{X}_{t})\right] + O(1) \\ &= 2^{-1}ta^{k,l}\partial_{l}a^{i,j}(x)\mathbb{E}\left[\partial_{i,j,k}f(\bar{X}_{t})\right] + O(1) \\ &= 2^{-1}ta^{k,l}\partial_{l}a^{i,j}(x)\mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_{T})H^{i,j,k}(\bar{X}_{T},1)\right] + O(1). \end{split}$$

The (explicit) correction term is then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t(x,\bar{X}_t) &:= 4^{-1}t^2 a^{k,l} \partial_l a^{i,j}(x) H^{i,j,k}(\bar{X}_t,1), \\ \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mu T} f(X_T)\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_T)(1+\eta_T(x,\bar{X}_T))\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T ds e^{\mu s} P_s f(\bar{X}_s) \Theta_{T-s}(x,\bar{X}_{T-s})\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sup_x \left|\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\mu T} f(X_T^x)\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{X}_T^x)(1+\eta_T(x,\bar{X}_T^x))\right]\right| \leq CT. \end{aligned}$$

[‡]In this case Θ does not depend on *r*, we will shorten the notation $\Theta_t(x, y) \equiv \Theta_t^r(x, y)$.

$$\sup_{x} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\mu T} f(X_T^x) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[f(\bar{X}_T^x) (1 + \eta_T(x, \bar{X}_T^x)) \right] \right| \le CT.$$

Therefore this gives a method of order two and then the probabilistic representation is valid for

$$Z_T := e^{(\lambda - \mu)T} (1 + \eta_{T - \tau_{N_T}}(\bar{X}^{\pi}_{\tau_{N_T}}, \bar{X}^{\pi}_T)) \prod_{i=0}^{N_T - 1} \lambda^{-1} \Theta_{\tau_{i+1} - \tau_i}(\bar{X}^{\pi}_{\tau_i}, \bar{X}^{\pi}_{\tau_{i+1}}).$$

The *p*-moments of Z_T are finite.

$$\begin{split} \Theta_t(x,\bar{X}_t) &= \mu(1+\eta_t(x,\bar{X}_t)) + \theta_t(x,\bar{X}_t)(1+\eta_t(x,\bar{X}_t)) + \theta_t^\eta(x,\bar{X}_t), \\ \theta_t^\eta(x,\bar{X}_t) &= 2^{-1}H^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t,\eta_t(x,\bar{X}_t)(a^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t)-a^{i,j}(x))) \\ &\quad - \theta_t(x,\bar{X}_t)\eta_t(x,\bar{X}_t) - 2^{-1}ta^{k,l}\partial_l a^{i,j}(x)H^{i,j,k}(\bar{X}_t,1). \end{split}$$

Recall

$$\theta_t(x, \bar{X}_t) = 2^{-1} H^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t, a^{i,j}(\bar{X}_t) - a^{i,j}(x)).$$

Experiment set-up: $\sigma(x) = \sigma(\sin(\omega x) + 2)$ and $b(x) = -\frac{x}{x^2 + \frac{c_1}{3c_3}}\sigma^2(x)$. We consider the payoff function $f(x) = c_3 x^3 + c_1 x + c_0$. Then since,

$$b(x)f'(x) + 2^{-1}\sigma^2(x)f''(x) = 0,$$

 $f(X_t)$ is a martingale and $\mathbb{E}[f(X_T)] = f(X_0)$.

In the experiment we choose the parameters given in Table 1.

c_0	c_1	<i>c</i> ₃	X ₀
0	1	1	1

Table: Parameters in experiment

Here $f(X_0) = 2$. The interest is in large parameters for σ and ω . First, we discuss about the choice of Poisson sampling by taking the general set-up to optimize the variance over $\{p_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_T)\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[J_n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{J_N}{p_N}\right].$$



Figure: $\sigma = \omega = 0.9$.

Variances at each level depending on the value of μ . No λ component is used. Optimization criteria is:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} p_n^{-1} \left[J_n^2 \right].$$



Figure: $\sigma = \omega = 0.9$.

Simulated variance, varying $\lambda = \mu$, and fitted curve.



Figure: $\sigma = \omega = 1.0$.

Simulated inverse efficiency, varying $\lambda = \mu$, and fitted curve. $\sigma = \omega = 0.9$.



Simulated efficiencies with optimal simulation parameters, when varying $\sigma = \omega$. Observe improvement from first order to second order scheme. It is comparable to RMLMC. $\mu = 0$ indicates no exponential rescaling.

- 1. We have presented a general set-up for higher order methods based on the parametrix approach
- 2. The order of the method is tied with the required accuracy and parameter values
- 3. One advantage is the fact that there is only one parameter to tune: the frequency of the Poisson process N
- Preparations are on the way for other situations. Eg.: Stopping times, local times, jumps, non-bounded coefficients (the extension to uniformly elliptic linearly growing smooth coefficients is clear after [7]), etc.

Thanks with some references

- P. Andersson and A. Kohatsu-Higa, Unbiased simulation of stochastic differential equations using parametrix expansions, Bernoulli, 2017, 23, 3, 2028-2057,
- [2] Bally, V. and Kohatsu-Higa, A. : A probabilistic interpretation of the parametrix method. Ann. Appl. Probab., 2015, 3095-3138.
- [3] Friedman, A. : Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type. Dover Publications, Inc., (1964)
- [4] Beskos, A. and Papaspiliopoulos, O. and Roberts, G., Retrospective exact simulation of diffusion sample paths with applications, Bernoulli, 12, 2006.
- [5] Giles, M., Multilevel Monte Carlo path simulation, Oper. Res. 56, 2008.
- [6] Wagner, W. Unbiased Monte Carlo estimators for functionals of weak solutions of stochastic differential equations, Stochastics Stochastics Rep. 28, 1989.
- [7] P. Henry-Labordére, X. Tan and N. Touzi, Unbiased simulation of stochastic differential equations. To appear in Annals of Applied Probability.