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## Notations.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n N}, x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right), x_{j}=\left(x_{j, 1}, \ldots, x_{j, n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $r_{i j}=\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|$,

We consider the folowing problem:
Find the best constants $C(n, N)$, such that

$$
-\Delta=-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_{j}} \geq C(n, N) \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{\left|x_{i}-x_{j}\right|^{2}}
$$

which is a generalization of Hardy's inequality

$$
-\Delta \geq\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{|x|^{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## Motivation

Let us consider the following Schrödinger operator in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 N}\right)$

$$
-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_{x_{j}}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{Z}{\left|x_{j}\right|}+\sum_{1 \leq k<j \leq N} \frac{1}{\left|x_{k}-x_{j}\right|}
$$

In 1984 E.Lieb obtained an uniform inequality on the number $N$ of electrons that can be bound to an atomic nucleus of charge $Z$. Namely

$$
2 Z>N-1
$$

There is a conjecture $N \sim Z+O(1)$, as $Z \rightarrow \infty$. In the proof Lieb used the standard 3D Hardy inequality

$$
-\Delta \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{|x|^{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

Lemma. Let $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right), m \geq 1$ and let

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(F_{1}(x), F_{2}(x), \ldots, F_{m}(x)\right)
$$

be a vector field in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} d x\right)^{2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2}|\mathbf{F}|^{2} d x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and partial integration

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\right| u\right|^{2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} d x|=2| \Re & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\langle\mathbf{F}, \nabla u\rangle \bar{u} d x \mid \\
& \leq 2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2}|\mathbf{F}|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Squaring this inequality completes the proof.

Remark. Note that one can linearize the inequality (??) by using that for positive $B, A^{2} / B \geq 2 A-B$. Namely,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} d x\right)^{2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2}|\mathbf{F}|^{2} d x}
$$

implies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}-|\mathbf{F}|^{2}\right) d x
$$

The same inequality one obtains directly from the identity

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left|\left(\nabla-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}\right) u\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}-|\mathbf{F}|^{2}\right) d x
$$

The identity
$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}\left|\left(\nabla-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}\right) u\right|^{2} d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}|u|^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}-|\mathbf{F}|^{2}\right) d x$
can formally be rewritten as
$-\Delta=\left(\nabla-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}\right)^{*}\left(\nabla-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}-|\mathbf{F}|^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}-\frac{1}{4}|\mathbf{F}|^{2}$.

## 1D Hardy inequality with N particles.

Theorem. Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{N}_{N}\right)$, where $\mathcal{N}_{N}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in\right.$ $\mathbb{R}^{N} \mid x_{i}=x_{j}$ for some $\left.i \neq j\right\}$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}}\right) d x .
$$

Proof. We use

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}-|\mathbf{F}|^{2}\right) d x
$$

choosing

$$
\mathbf{F}=-\left(\sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{1}{x_{1}-x_{k}}, \ldots, \sum_{k \neq N} \frac{1}{x_{N}-x_{k}}\right) .
$$

## Remarks.

- The standard Hardy inequality on $(0, \infty)$ is

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|f|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x
$$

This implies $-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2} x_{i}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial^{2} x_{j}} \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}}+\frac{1}{r_{j i}^{2}}\right)$. If we add up then we obtain the constant $\frac{1}{4(N-1)}$ rather than $1 / 4$.

- The constant $1 / 4$ is optimal.


## 3D Hardy inequalities with N particles.

Let
$A_{N}(x):=\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}}, \quad B_{N}(x):=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i \neq k, i, k \neq j} \frac{\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right) \cdot\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)}{r_{i j}^{2} r_{j k}^{2}}$.
and let $\mathbf{F}$ be the following $3 \times N$ vector

$$
\mathbf{F}(x)=\nabla \ln \Pi_{j \neq k}\left|x_{j}-x_{k}\right|=\left(\sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{x_{1}-x_{k}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{k}\right|^{2}}, \ldots, \sum_{k \neq N} \frac{x_{N}-x_{k}}{\left|x_{N}-x_{k}\right|^{2}}\right)
$$

Simple calculation shows that

$$
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}(x)=A_{N}(x) \quad \text { and } \quad|\mathbf{F}(x)|^{2}=A_{N}(x)+B_{N}(x)
$$

We now define

$$
K(N)=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3 N}} \frac{B_{N}(x)}{A_{N}(x)}
$$

Then the main lemma implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x & \geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u(x)|^{2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}(x) d x\right)^{2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u(x)|^{2}|\mathbf{F}(x)|^{2} d x} \\
=\frac{1}{4} & \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u(x)|^{2} A_{N}(x) d x\right)^{2}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u|^{2} A_{N}(x)\left(1+B_{N}(x) A_{N}^{-1}(x)\right) d x} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4+4 K(N)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u(x)|^{2} A_{N}(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{4+4 K(N)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u(x)|^{2}\left(\sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain the following result:

Theorem. Let $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 N}\right)$, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4+4 K(N)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u|^{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}} d x,
$$

The problem is now reduced to finding a good estimate for the value of $K(N)$.

Lemma. Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ be three $n$-dimensional vectors. Let $R$ be the circumradius of the triangle with corners $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$. Then
$\frac{1}{2 R^{2}}=\frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \cdot\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)}{r_{12}^{2} r_{13}^{2}}+\frac{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{2}-x_{3}\right)}{r_{12}^{2} r_{23}^{2}}+\frac{\left(x_{3}-x_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x_{3}-x_{2}\right)}{r_{13}^{2} r_{23}^{2}}$.
Proof. Let $a=x_{1}-x_{2}$ and $b=x_{1}-x_{3}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { r.h.s. } & =\frac{(a \cdot b)}{|a|^{2}|b|^{2}}-\frac{a \cdot(b-a)}{|a|^{2}|b-a|^{2}}-\frac{b \cdot(a-b)}{|b|^{2}|b-a|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2\left(|a|^{2}|b|^{2}-(a \cdot b)^{2}\right)}{|a|^{2}|b|^{2}|b-a|^{2}}==\frac{2|a|^{2}|b|^{2}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \phi\right)}{|a|^{2}|b|^{2}|b-a|^{2}}=\frac{2 \sin ^{2} \phi}{r_{23}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\phi$ is the angle between $a$ and $b$. The relation between the circumradius and the angle follows from the sine-theorem.

Let now $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$ are three points in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. As above $r_{i j}, r_{i k}, r_{j k}$ are the distances between these points and $R_{i j k}$ is the circumradius of the triangle obtained from these three points.
The value $1 / R_{i j k}$ is called the Menger curvature (Karl Megner 1902-1985) of the triple ( $x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}$ ) (coinsides with the usual curvature of the circle through these points).
If now

$$
Q_{N}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i \neq k, i, k \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{R_{i j k}^{2}}}{2 \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}}}
$$

then

$$
K(N)=\sup _{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}} Q_{N}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)
$$

Lemma. Let $T$ be a triangle whose sides have the lengths $a, b, c$ and whose circumradius is $R$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{R^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}+\frac{1}{c^{2}}
$$

with equality only for the equilateral triangle.

Proof. It is well known (for ex. see Mitrinovic:1989), that $a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2} \leq 9 R^{2}$. The equality holds only for the equilateral triangle. Now combine this with the elemntary inequality

$$
\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}+\frac{1}{c^{2}}\right) \geq 9
$$

which follows immediately from $x / y+y / x \geq 2$, for $x, y>0$.

Theorem. Let $u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 N}\right)$ then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{2 N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u|^{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}} d x
$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that $(4+4 K(N))^{-1} \geq 1 / 2 N$. By using the last lemma we find that

$$
\sum_{i \neq k, i, k \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{R_{i j k}^{2}} \leq \sum_{i \neq k, i, k \neq j}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}}+\frac{1}{r_{i k}^{2}}+\frac{1}{r_{j k}^{2}}\right)=(N-2) \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}}
$$

Therefore

$$
K(N)=\sup _{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}} \frac{\sum_{i \neq k, i, k \neq j}^{N} R_{i j k}^{-2}}{2 \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} r_{i j}^{-2}} \leq \frac{N-2}{2}
$$

which proves our statement.

## Remarks.

- This is already an improvement of the factor $(4 N-4)^{-1}$ which we would have obtained by adding up standard 3D Hardy inequalities.

Open problem: Can one replace $1 / 2 N$ by a constant independent of $N$ as it has been shown for 1D $N$ particles?

- For $N=3$ and 4 the estimate $(4+4 K(N))^{-1} \geq 1 / 2 N$ is optimal. For larger $N$ the value of $K(N)$ is unknown. Finding the sharp value of $K(N)$ is an interesting problem from geometrical combinatorics.

We have already proved that $K(N) \leq(N-2) / 2$.

Proposition. liminf $N_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} K(N)>0$.

Proof. Let $R(x, y, z), x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, now be the radius of the circumcircle of the triangle defined by $(x, y, z)$ and let $r(x, y)$ be the distance between $x$ and $y$. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is an open bounded set with smooth boundary and let $\mathbb{Z}_{M}^{3}$ denote the three dimensional lattice $\left\{k / M, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{3}\right\}$. It is well known that $N:=\#\left\{x_{j} \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{Z}_{M}^{3}\right\} \sim|\Omega| M^{3}+o\left(M^{3}\right)$, as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$
\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} K(N)
$$

$$
\geq \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \frac{M^{-9} B_{N}}{M^{-6} A_{N}}=\frac{1}{2|\Omega|} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} R^{-2}(x, y, z) d x d y d z}{\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} r^{-2}(x, y) d x d y}
$$

If for example $\Omega=B=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3},|x|<1\right\}$, then by symmetry

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{B^{3}} R^{-2}(x, y, z) d x d y d z=\int_{B^{3}} \frac{\left(x_{1}-z_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)}{|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}} d x d y d z \\
\quad=\int_{B}\left(\int_{B} \frac{x_{1}-z_{1}}{|x-z|^{2}} d z\right)^{2} d x>0
\end{array}
$$

and therefore

$$
\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} K(N) \geq \frac{1}{|B|} \frac{\int_{B^{3}} \frac{\left(x_{1}-z_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}-y_{1}\right)}{|x-y|^{2}|x-z|^{2}} d x d y d z}{\int_{B^{2}} r^{-2}(x, y) d x d y}>0
$$

Proposition is proved.

- Suppose that the best asymptotic configuration of points could be described by a finite measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then

$$
\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} K(N)=\frac{1}{|\mu|} \frac{\iiint R^{-2}(x, y, z) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) d \mu(z)}{\iint r^{-2}(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y)}
$$

The integral

$$
\mathcal{C}(\mu)=\iiint R^{-2}(x, y, z) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) d \mu(z)
$$

is known as Menger-Melnikov curvature of the measure $\mu$.

Recently Tolsa used Menger-Melnikov curvature for geometric caracterisation of compact sets in the plane of zero analytic capacity (i.e. compact sets which are removable for bounded analytic functions). It has been a break through result prepared in the papers of David, Melnikov, Verdera, Mattila, Leger and many others.

Problem: Isoperimetric properties of the Menger-Melnikov curvature.

Find a measure $\mu, \mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=1$, such that the integral

$$
I(\mu)=\frac{\iiint R^{-2}(x, y, z) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) d \mu(z)}{\iint r^{-2}(x, y) d \mu(x) d \mu(y)}
$$

is maximal.

Remark. Since $K(N) \leq \frac{N-2}{2}$ we have $I(\mu) \leq 1 / 2$.

2D case. Let

$$
G(x)=\ln |x|, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),
$$

and let define

$$
\mathbf{F}=-\frac{\nabla G}{G}=-\frac{1}{\ln |x|}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{|x|^{2}}, \frac{x_{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) .
$$

Then $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}^{2}|x|} \cdot \frac{1}{|x|^{2}}$ and applying the inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|u|^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}-|\mathbf{F}|^{2}\right) \mathrm{dx}
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2} \ln ^{2}|x|} d x .
$$

## 2D multiparticle case.

We define

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left(\sum_{k \neq 1} \frac{1}{\ln \left|x_{1}-x_{k}\right|} \frac{x_{1}-x_{k}}{\left|x_{1}-x_{k}\right|^{2}}, \ldots, \sum_{k \neq N} \frac{1}{\ln \left|x_{N}-x_{k}\right|} \frac{x_{N}-x_{k}}{\left|x_{N}-x_{k}\right|^{2}}\right),
$$

where $x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then the main lemma implies
Theorem.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \geq \frac{1}{4+4 K(N)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}}|u|^{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\ln ^{2} r_{i j}} \frac{1}{r_{i j}^{2}} d x,
$$

where as before $K(N)=\sup _{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}} \frac{\sum_{i \neq k, i, k \neq j}^{N} R_{i j k}^{-2}}{2 \sum_{i \neq j}^{N} r_{i j}^{-2}} \leq \frac{N-2}{2}$.
Remark. For 2D case we can only prove that for large $N$ $c_{1} N / \ln N \leq K(N) \leq N / 2-1$.

## 2D magnetic Dirichlet Hardy inequality.

It has been shown in [LW] that if $\mathbf{F}=\alpha\left(-x_{2}|x|^{-2}, x_{1}|x|^{-2}\right)$, $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, is an Aharonov-Bohm vector potential, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|(i \nabla+\mathbf{F}) u|^{2} d x \geq \min _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}(k-\alpha)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x
$$

Indeed, using polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ we have $u(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \sum_{k} u_{k}(r) e^{i k \theta}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|(i \nabla+\mathbf{F}) u|^{2} d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\left|u_{r}^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right. \\
& \begin{aligned}
&\left.\geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{i u_{\theta}^{\prime}+\alpha u}{r}\right|^{2}\right) r d \theta d r \\
& r\left.\frac{\alpha-k}{r} u_{k} e^{i k \theta}\right|^{2} r d \theta d r
\end{aligned}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{k}\left|\frac{\alpha-k}{r} u_{k}\right|^{2} r d \theta d r \\
& \\
& \geq \min _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}(k-\alpha)^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Later Balinsky generalized this inequality and obtained a pretty result when $\mathbf{F}$ has a finite number of singularities by using conformal mappings.
Some interesting inequalities of this type were also recently obtained by Melgaard, Ouhabaz \& Rozenblum.
Balinsky, Evans \& Lewis used LW inequality for establishing a CLR inequalities in 2D case and later Bennewitz \& Evans obtained LW type inequalities in $L^{p}$ spaces.

Magnetic multiparticle case.

Let $x_{j}=\left(x_{j 1}, x_{j 2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, j=1,2, \ldots, N$, and let

$$
\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}}=\alpha\left(-\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{x_{j 2}-x_{k 2}}{r_{j k}^{2}}, \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{x_{j 1}-x_{k 1}}{r_{j k}^{2}}\right) .
$$

Theorem. Let

$$
D_{N, \alpha}=\min _{l=1, \ldots, N-1}\left(\frac{\min _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|k-l \alpha|}{l}\right)^{2}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\left(i \nabla_{x_{j}}+\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) u\right|^{2} d x \geq D_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}}|u|^{2}\left(\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{k j}^{2}}\right) d x
$$

## Proof.

Let $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N}\right), z_{j}=x_{j 1}+i x_{j 2}$ and let $\Phi_{j}(z)=\Pi_{k \neq j}\left(z_{j}-z_{k}\right)$, $j, k=1, \ldots, N$.
According to Balinsky's inequality there is a piecewise constant function $C_{j}(x) \geq D_{N, \alpha}$, such that

$$
\left.\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \mid i \nabla_{x_{j}}+\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)\left.u\right|^{2} d x \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} C_{j}(x)\left|\frac{\left(\Phi_{j}\right)_{z_{j}}^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi_{j}(z)}\right|^{2}|u|^{2} d x
$$

Simple computation shows

$$
\left|\frac{\left(\Phi_{j}\right)_{z_{j}}^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi_{j}(z)}\right|^{2}=\left|\sum_{k \neq j} \frac{1}{z_{j}-z_{k}}\right|^{2}=\sum_{k, l \neq j} \frac{\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right) \cdot\left(x_{j}-x_{l}\right)}{r_{j k}^{2} r_{j l}^{2}}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\left(i \nabla_{x_{j}}+\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) u\right|^{2} d x \geq D_{N, \alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\sum_{k \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{z_{j}-z_{k}}\right|^{2}|u|^{2} d x \\
=D_{N, \alpha} \int\left(\sum_{k \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{j k}^{2}}+\sum_{l \neq k, l, k \neq j}^{N} \frac{1}{R_{j k l}^{2}}\right)|u|^{2} d x
\end{array}
$$

We complete the proof by noticing that

$$
\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \sum_{l \neq k, l, k \neq j}^{N} R_{j k l}^{-2}=0
$$

A joke.

It is well known that the ground state of the harmonic oscillator

$$
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+x^{2} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

is $\varphi(x)=\exp \left(-x^{2} / 2\right)$ and the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=1$.
Therefore the following inequality is sharp

$$
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+x^{2} \geq 1
$$

Let us now consider the following formal expression:

$$
A^{*} A=\left(\frac{d}{d x}-x+\frac{1}{2 x}\right)\left(-\frac{d}{d x}-x+\frac{1}{2 x}\right) \geq 0
$$

This can be rewritten as
$A^{*} A=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+x^{2}+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}-1-\frac{1}{2 x^{2}}-2 x \frac{1}{2 x}=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+x^{2}-2-\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}$.
The latter identity implies

$$
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+x^{2} \geq 2+\frac{1}{4 x^{2}}
$$

Conclusion: The eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=1$ does not exist, does it???

## 3D Coulomb case with N particles.

Theorem. Let $u \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3 N}\right)$. Then
$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}\left(\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{r_{i j}}\right)|u|^{2} d x \geq-\left(\frac{N(N-1)}{2}+L(N)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3 N}}|u|^{2} d x$,
where

$$
L(N)=\sup \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i \neq k, i, k \neq j} \frac{\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right) \cdot\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)}{r_{i j} r_{j k}} .
$$

## Remarks.

- The sharp value of $L(N)$ is unknown except of $N=3,4,5$. However, we can show that

$$
\frac{1}{6} N(N-1)(N-2) \leq L(N) \leq \frac{1}{4} N(N-1)(N-2)
$$

- The Coulomb case has also been recently studied by A. Mouchet who conjectured that for large $N$ the optimal configuration is achieved when the $N$ points are distributed uniformly on a sphere. In this case

$$
0.0542 \ldots \leq \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-3} L(N) \leq \frac{1}{18}=0.0556 \ldots
$$

## Isoperimetric problem:

Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and let $c(x, y, z)$ be the the cosinus of the angle between the vectors $y-x$ and $z-x$.

Find a measure $\mu, \mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=1$, such that

$$
J(\mu)=\iiint c(x, y, z) d \mu(x) d \mu(y) d \mu(z)
$$

is maximal.

## A question.

It would be interesting to obtain such type of inequalities for fermions.

